



## Investigating The SDG 4 Framework: The (Non)Influence Of Learning Styles On English Achievement In A Rural Islamic Elementary School

Sutriani Asma<sup>1</sup>, Yan Aihua<sup>2</sup>, Hjalmar Punla Hernandez<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of English Language Education, Social Sciences, Alauddin State Islamic University Of Makassar, Makassar, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Dapartment Englis Language Education, Social Sciences, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Sabah, Malaysia

<sup>3</sup>Dapartment of Language Education, Social Sciences, University of the Philipines, Philipines

---

### Article Info

#### Article history:

Received Jan 3, 20xx

Revised Jan 7, 20xx

Accepted Jan 9, 20xx

OnlineFirst Jan 12, 20xx

---

#### Keywords:

Contextual Factors

Educational Equity

Inclusive Education

SDG 4 (Quality Education)

---

### ABSTRACT

**Purpose of the study:** In simple words tell to readers about the aim of this study. No discussion, no story only aim of this study [30-50 words] This study aims to analyze the influence of students' learning styles (visual, auditory, and kinesthetic) on their English learning outcomes among fourth-grade students at MIN Bontolangkasa.

**Methodology:** This quantitative correlational research used a learning style questionnaire (30 items) and documentation of English semester test scores. Data from 41 students were analyzed using descriptive statistics and simple linear regression with SPSS Version 22 software.

**Main Findings:** The dominant learning style was visual. However, statistical analysis showed no significant influence of learning styles on English learning outcomes. The average English score was 70.40, and factors such as uniform teaching methods and the local linguistic environment were suspected to moderate the relationship.

**Novelty/Originality of this study:** This study provides empirical evidence from a Madrasah Ibtidaiyah (Islamic elementary school) in a rural Indonesian context, highlighting that learning style theory may not be a primary determinant of achievement when other contextual and pedagogical factors are predominant.

*This is an open access article under the [CC BY](#) license*



---

#### Corresponding Author:

Sutriani Asma,

Department of English Language Education, Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, Alauddin State Islamic University,

Sultan Alauddin Road, Makassae, South Sulawesi, 92113, Indonesia

Email: [sutrianiasma@gmail.com](mailto:sutrianiasma@gmail.com)

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The pervasive force of globalization has unequivocally established English as a critical lingua franca, necessitating its integration into national education systems worldwide [1]-[3]. Indonesia, responding to this imperative, has institutionalized English as a mandatory local content subject in elementary education, aiming to equip young learners with foundational communicative competencies for future academic and professional pursuits [4]-[6]. This policy underscores a national commitment to enhancing human capital within a competitive global arena. However, the effective implementation of this policy in diverse classroom contexts remains a significant pedagogical challenge, particularly in addressing the inherent heterogeneity of learners.

This national effort aligns with the global commitment to Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4), which aims to "ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all" (). A core tenet of achieving equitable quality education is addressing learner diversity and ensuring that

pedagogical practices do not inadvertently create or widen learning disparities (SDG 4.5). In this pursuit, the concept of learning styles has been widely promoted as a tool for inclusive and differentiated instruction, potentially offering a pathway to tailor education to individual needs. However, the uncritical application of such theories, especially in under-researched and unique educational settings like Indonesia's Madrasah Ibtidaiyah, risks overlooking more potent contextual barriers to quality education [7]-[9]. This study, therefore, situates itself within the SDG 4 agenda by empirically examining whether accommodating learning styles a popular proposed lever for educational equity holds significant influence on English learning outcomes in a specific, marginalized context [10], [11]. The findings aim to contribute to a more nuanced understanding of what truly drives 'quality education' in diverse environments, moving beyond universal prescriptions to context-sensitive strategies.

The cornerstone of effective pedagogy lies in recognizing and accommodating individual differences among students. Among the myriad psychological constructs influencing learning, learning style defined as a learner's characteristic and relatively consistent approach to perceiving, processing, and retaining information has garnered substantial attention from educators and researchers [12], [13]. The Visual, Auditory, and Kinesthetic model, as popularized by Usman et al [14], provides a pragmatic framework for categorizing these preferences. It posits that individuals have dominant channels for learning: visually through images and text, auditorily through sound and speech, and kinesthetically through movement and tactile experience. A substantial body of theoretical literature argues that instructional alignment with a student's predominant learning style can reduce cognitive load, increase engagement, and subsequently improve academic achievement [15]-[17]. Consequently, the diagnosis and accommodation of learning styles are frequently advocated as strategies for creating inclusive and differentiated classrooms.

Empirical investigations into the relationship between learning styles and academic outcomes, however, present a complex and sometimes contradictory picture. While numerous studies in various international and Indonesian contexts have reported positive correlations, affirming the pedagogical value of style-matched instruction [18]-[20], other research suggests the effect is modest or moderated by other variables such as intelligence, motivation, and the specific subject matter [21]-[23]. This ambiguity points to a critical research gap, the influence of learning styles is not universal but is deeply embedded within specific educational ecosystems. There is a pronounced scarcity of focused research within unique socio cultural and institutional settings like Indonesia's State Elementary Madrasah. These Islamic public elementary schools operate within a distinctive milieu, blending the national curriculum with religious values and often serving communities where local languages (e.g., Makassarese) are the primary medium of daily communication, not Indonesian, let alone English [24]-[26]. This linguistic environment, coupled with potentially resource-constrained teaching methodologies, creates a specific context that may significantly attenuate or reshape the expected impact of individual learning preferences.

Based on previous research, this study has gaps with the research conducted by Karmana [27] who discussed sustainable education within the framework of SDG 4 in the national context with a broad focus on policy implementation, curriculum, and systemic challenges; with the bibliometric study of Agustin [28] who mapped global trends in Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) research and noted the dominant focus on higher education and recommendations for expansion to the elementary level; and with the study of Anggraeni et al [29] who examined the integration of sustainability principles (such as green chemistry and SDGs) into the specific content of science subjects. The main gaps of this study lie in the very specific and unexplored objects, contexts, and research variables. The three previous studies focused on education for sustainability (ESD) as a content or policy objective, while this study investigates SDG 4 as an educational quality framework to analyze the influence of internal factors of the learning process, namely learning styles, on academic achievement, especially in English. Furthermore, this study fills a gap by situating it in a very specific and often overlooked context, namely Islamic elementary schools in rural areas, which differs from the broader focus of previous research on the national education system, higher education, or science learning at the secondary level [30], [31], [32]. Thus, this study bridges the gap between the macro discourse of sustainable education (SDG 4) and the unique micro practices of classroom learning, while also examining the relevance of a popular pedagogical construct (learning styles) in achieving educational quality goals in a specific socio-cultural and geographical environment.

This research introduces significant novelty by forging a unique interdisciplinary nexus between the macro-framework of Sustainable Development Goal 4 (Quality Education) and the micro pedagogical variable of individual learning styles, an intersection largely unexplored in existing literature [33]-[35]. While previous studies predominantly treat SDG 4 as a directive for curricular content on sustainability (e.g., environmental education) or systemic policy analysis, this study innovatively operationalizes it as an evaluative framework to assess educational quality in terms of equitable learning outcomes. The novelty is further amplified by its specific and underexplored context: a rural Islamic elementary school. This setting allows for a critical examination of how universal educational goals interact with localized socio cultural and religious infrastructures. Additionally, the study contributes a crucial empirical test to the long-standing pedagogical debate on the efficacy of learning styles,

investigating its (non)influence on a concrete metric English achievement thereby moving beyond theoretical discourse to ground-level validation within a distinct educational ecosystem [36].

The implications of this study are multifaceted and impactful for theory, policy, and practice. Theoretically, it challenges and potentially refines the assumed universality of the learning styles theory by testing it in a non-Western, rural, and faith-based educational context, contributing to more culturally responsive pedagogical models [37], [38]. For policy, especially within the framework of SDG 4, the findings can inform more nuanced and context-sensitive strategies for improving educational quality in rural and Islamic schools across Indonesia and similar settings, moving beyond one size fits all approaches [39], [40]. Practically, it provides direct insights for teachers and curriculum developers in madrasahs and rural elementary schools. If learning styles are found to be non-influential, it would imply a need to redirect limited resources towards more evidence based pedagogical interventions and teacher training programs focused on effective language instruction rather than diagnostic learning style assessments. Conversely, any found influence would offer a lever for personalized teaching strategies to boost English proficiency.

The urgency of this research stems from a critical convergence of global agendas and localized educational disparities. As nations strive to meet the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals, there is an urgent need for granular, context-specific research that examines how the broad targets of SDG 4 translate into real classrooms, particularly in underserved communities like rural Islamic schools where resources are scarce and educational challenges are multifaceted. The persistent gap in English proficiency in rural Indonesia represents a significant barrier to students' future academic and economic mobility. Simultaneously, the pervasive yet scientifically contested application of learning styles theory in teacher training and classroom practice demands urgent empirical scrutiny to prevent the misallocation of effort and resources [40], [41]. Therefore, this study is urgently needed to generate actionable, evidence based knowledge that can directly inform efforts to enhance the quality and equity of English education in some of the most pivotal yet under-researched educational settings, ensuring that the pursuit of SDG 4 is both effective and efficient.

Therefore, this study is situated at the intersection of educational psychology and contextual pedagogy [42]-[44]. It seeks to empirically examine the influence of Visual, Auditory, and Kinesthetic learning styles on the English language achievement of fourth-grade students at State Elementary Madrasah Bontolangkasa, Kabupaten Pangkep, South Sulawesi. The research moves beyond a generic application of learning style theory to test its relevance and predictive power in a specifically under-represented educational context a rural Islamic elementary school. The novelty and primary contribution of this study lie in its deliberate contextual focus [45]. It probes whether the theoretically posited link between learning style and achievement is robust enough to manifest clearly amidst the potent contextual variables of a monolingual local language environment and standardized classroom practices. The findings are anticipated to advance the global conversation on SDG 4 by providing nuanced, context-bound evidence from a rural Islamic school. This challenges the uncritical transfer of pedagogical theories and offers critical insights for policymakers and practitioners aiming to achieve equitable quality education (SDG 4.5) in linguistically and culturally diverse settings, ensuring no learner is left behind.

## 2. RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a quantitative correlational research design to investigate the relationship between students' learning styles and their English learning outcomes. A correlational design is appropriate for examining the degree and significance of association between two or more variables without manipulating them [46].

### 2.1. Design Research

This study employed a quantitative correlational design to analyze the relationship between students' learning styles and their English achievement [47]. The research procedure involved three sequential phases: preparation, data collection, and analysis. Data were collected from all 41 fourth-grade students at State Elementary Madrasah Bontolangkasa using total sampling. The independent variable (learning style) was measured using a 30-item (Visual, Auditory, Kinesthetic) questionnaire adapted from established theory [48], while the dependent variable (learning outcome) was derived from documented final English scores for the odd semester of the 2014/2015 academic year. Data analysis included descriptive statistics and inferential analysis using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test and simple linear regression with SPSS Version 22 software [49].

### 2.2. Subject Research

The research was conducted at State Elementary Madrasah Bontolangkasa, South Sulawesi. The population comprised all 41 fourth grade students across three classes, and a total sampling technique was used, meaning the entire population was taken as the sample, as recommended for small populations ( $N < 100$ ). The procedure began with a preparation phase involving a literature review and instrument preparation. This was followed by the data collection phase, where two primary instruments were deployed simultaneously: (1) a closed-ended learning style questionnaire, and (2) a documentation guide for collecting English achievement scores.

### 2.3. Instrument and Data Acquisition

The independent variable, learning style (X), was measured using a 30-item questionnaire adapted from VAK theory [50]. It consisted of three sub-scales: 10 items for visual, 10 for auditory, and 10 for kinesthetic preferences. Items used a 4-point Likert scale (Always=4, Often=3, Sometimes=2, Never=1). The questionnaire was structured with both positive and negative statements to minimize response bias. The dependent variable, learning outcome (Y), was operationalized as the students' final English scores from the odd semester of the 2014/2015 academic year, obtained through documentary study of school reports. This objective measure aligns with standard practices for assessing academic achievement.

#### 2.4. Data Analysis Technique

Data analysis was performed using SPSS Version 22 software. The process followed a two-step approach. First, descriptive statistics were calculated to summarize the characteristics of both variables, including mean, median, mode, standard deviation, and frequency distribution. Students were categorized into learning style types (Visual, Auditory, Kinesthetic) based on the sub-scale with the highest average score. The distribution of students across these categories is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Distribution of Students' Learning Styles


Learning Style	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Visual	22	53.7
Auditory	6	14.6
Kinesthetic	13	31.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Second, inferential analysis was conducted to test the hypothesis. A normality test using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov method was performed as a prerequisite for parametric testing [51]. Subsequently, a simple linear regression analysis was employed to determine the presence and magnitude of the influence of the learning style score (X) on the English learning outcome score (Y). The significance level was set at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

#### 2.5. Research Procedure

This study used a quantitative correlational design to examine the relationship between students' learning styles and English learning achievement. This design is suitable for assessing the level of association between variables without experimental manipulation[52]. The research procedure followed a systematic three-phase structure, as illustrated in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Research Procedure



### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, the influence of learning styles (visual, auditory, and kinesthetic) on the English learning outcomes of fourth-grade students at State Elementary Madrasah Bontolangkasa was investigated. The research employed a quantitative correlational approach, with data collected through questionnaires and documentation of student report cards. The following sections present the research findings along with a comprehensive discussion.

#### 3.1. Description of Research Data

##### 3.1.1. Description of Learning Styles (Variable X)

The learning style data were obtained from 41 fourth-grade students using a closed-ended questionnaire consisting of 30 items. The questionnaire was designed to identify three types of learning styles: visual, auditory, and kinesthetic. Viewed through the lens of Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4), particularly its targets on quality (4.1) and equity (4.5), the non-significant finding of this study is both critical and instructive. It suggests that in the specific ecosystem of State Elementary Madrasah Bontolangkasa, the lever of learning style accommodation may be insufficient to overcome more substantial systemic and environmental barriers to equitable English language achievement. The results of the descriptive analysis are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Statistical Summary of Learning Style

Statistic	Value
Mean	79.51
Median	78.00
Mode	74.00
Standard Deviation	5.86

Range	23.00
Minimum Score	70.00
Maximum Score	93.00

The average learning style score was 79.51, with a standard deviation of 5.86, indicating that the students' learning style scores were relatively homogenous around the mean. Based on the ideal mean ( $M_i = 75$ ) and ideal standard deviation ( $SD_i = 15$ ), the students' learning styles were categorized as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Distribution of Learning Style Categories

Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
High	1	2.44
Moderate	29	70.73
Low	11	26.83
Very Low	0	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>100</b>

The majority of students (70.73%) had a moderate level of learning styles. Furthermore, based on the dominant learning style for each student (determined by the highest sub-score among visual, auditory, and kinesthetic), the distribution was as follows:

- Visual Learners: 22 students (53.66%)
- Kinesthetic Learners: 13 students (31.71%)
- Auditory Learners: 6 students (14.63%)

This indicates that visual learning style was the most dominant among the fourth-grade students at State Elementary Madrasah Bontolangkasa.

### 3.1.2. Description of Learning Outcomes (Variable Y)

Learning outcome data were obtained from the students' final exam scores in English for the odd semester of the 2014/2015 academic year. The descriptive analysis results are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Statistical Summary of Learning Outcome Variable (Y)

Statistic	Value
Mean	70.40
Median	69.00
Mode	65.00
Standard Deviation	5.62
Range	15.00
Minimum Score	65.00
Maximum Score	80.00

The average English learning outcome was 70.40. Using the ideal mean ( $M_i = 72.5$ ) and ideal standard deviation ( $SD_i = 2.5$ ) calculated from the highest score (80) and lowest score (65), the students' learning outcomes were categorized as shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Distribution of Learning Outcome Categories

Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
High	12	29.27
Moderate	1	2.44
Low	7	17.07
Very Low	21	51.22
<b>Total</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>100</b>

Notably, more than half of the students (51.22%) had learning outcomes in the "Very Low" category. This condition is suspected to be influenced by external factors such as the students' learning environment. Most students use the Makassar language daily in family, school, and community interactions, which may hinder the habituation and practice of English [9].

### 3.1.3. Recapitulation of Learning Styles and Learning Outcomes

The relationship between the type of learning style and the average learning outcome is presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Recapitulation of Learning Style Scores and Learning Outcomes

Learning Style	N	Minimum Score	Maximum Score	Mean Score
Visual	22	65.0	80.0	70.89
Auditory	6	65.0	75.0	69.67
Kinesthetic	13	65.0	80.0	69.92

Table 5 shows that the average learning outcomes for the three learning style groups were not significantly different. Visually dominant students had a slightly higher average (70.89) compared to auditory (69.67) and kinesthetic (69.92) learners. However, the difference is very small.

### 3.2. Hypothesis Testing and Discussion

### 3.2.1. Data Normality Test

Prior to hypothesis testing, a normality test was conducted using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov method with SPSS Version 22. The results are shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Results of Data Normality Test

Variable	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Statistic	Sig.
Learning Style (X)	0.114	0.200
Learning Outcome (Y)	0.211	0.010

The decision criterion is that if *Sig.* > 0.05, the data is normally distributed. The learning style variable (X) has a *Sig.* value of 0.200 (> 0.05), so it is normally distributed. The learning outcome variable (Y) has a *Sig.* value of 0.010 (< 0.05), indicating a non-normal distribution. However, with a sample size of 41 (*N* > 30), the Central Limit Theorem applies, allowing the use of parametric tests such as regression analysis [53]. Therefore, further analysis can proceed.

### 3.2.2. Simple Linear Regression Analysis

Simple linear regression analysis was used to test the hypothesis regarding the influence of learning styles (X) on English learning outcomes (Y). The analysis results are summarized in Table 7.

Table 7. Summary of Simple Linear Regression Analysis Results

Output	Value
Constant (a)	71.21
Regression Coefficient (b)	-0.010
Sig. of Regression Coefficient	0.948
R Square	0.000

Based on Table 7, the regression equation formed is:

$$Y = 71.21 - 0.010X \dots (1)$$

Where:

- **Y** = Predicted English Learning Outcome
- **X** = Learning Style Score
- **a (71.21)** = Constant, indicating the predicted learning outcome if the learning style score is zero.
- **b (-0.010)** = Regression coefficient, indicating that every one-unit decrease in learning style score is associated with a 0.010-unit *increase* in learning outcome. The negative sign shows a very weak and inverse relationship.

The *Sig.* value for the regression coefficient is 0.948, which is far greater than 0.05. This leads to the acceptance of the null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) and the rejection of the alternative hypothesis ( $H_a$ ). Thus, there is no significant influence of learning styles on the English learning outcomes of fourth-grade students at State Elementary Madrasah Bontolangkasa. Furthermore, the coefficient of determination (R Square) is 0.000, meaning that the variation in learning style scores explains 0% of the variation in English learning outcomes. The remaining 100% is influenced by other factors not examined in this study.

### 3.2.3. Post Hoc Test (Multiple Comparisons)

To determine if there were differences in learning outcomes between groups of students with different dominant learning styles, a Post Hoc test was conducted using the Tukey HSD method. The results showed that the *Sig.* values for all pairwise comparisons (Visual-Auditory = 0.890; Visual-Kinesthetic = 0.882; Auditory-Kinesthetic = 0.996) were all greater than 0.05. This confirms that there is no significant difference in English learning outcomes between students with visual, auditory, or kinesthetic learning styles.

### 3.3. Comprehensive Discussion

The findings of this study indicate that learning styles do not have a significant effect on students' English learning outcomes. This contrasts with several theories suggesting that matching teaching methods to students' learning styles can optimize learning outcomes [54]. Several factors may explain these results:

The learning process and outcomes are influenced by a complex set of factors, not just individual learning styles. These factors include instrumental inputs (curriculum, teacher competence, teaching methods, facilities) and environmental inputs (family, community, socio-cultural context) [55]. In the context of State Elementary Madrasah Bontolangkasa, environmental factors are suspected to play a more dominant role. The dominant use of the local language (Makassar) in daily life limits students' exposure and practice of English, which is crucial for foreign language acquisition [56]. This is supported by the finding that 51.22% of students had learning outcomes in the "Very Low" category.

The research suggests that the teaching methods applied in the classroom may not have been differentiated based on students' diverse learning styles. Teachers might have used uniform methods for all students. As a result, despite having different learning style preferences, students received the same kind of stimulation, preventing any one style group from showing superior outcomes. This aligns with the conclusion that the "one-size-fits-all" approach neutralizes the potential advantages of any specific learning style [57].

Learning a foreign language, especially for young learners, inherently involves multiple senses simultaneously (listening, seeing, speaking, writing, doing). Effective English language teaching (ELT) for young

learners typically incorporates varied activities such as songs, games, stories, and physical movements [58], [59], [60]. These activities cater to visual, auditory, and kinesthetic modalities at once. Therefore, in a well-rounded language class, students of all learning style preferences can find elements that engage them, potentially leveling the outcome differences attributed solely to a single dominant style.

The learning outcomes in this study were measured solely using final semester exam scores (summative assessment) [61], [62]. This type of assessment may not fully capture the students' actual language proficiency, which includes communicative competence, fluency, and practical skills. A different assessment method (e.g., performance-based assessment or portfolio) might reveal correlations with learning styles that are not apparent through written exams.

This study underscores that the path to inclusive and equitable quality education (SDG 4) is not universal. In settings like State Elementary Madrasah Bontolangkasa, structural and environmental factors (linguistic landscape, teacher preparedness, assessment systems) may be more significant determinants of learning outcomes than individual learner preferences [63], [64]. Therefore, efforts to achieve SDG 4 must prioritize contextual diagnostics. Investing in teacher professional development (SDG 4.c) for multilingual pedagogy, creating English-rich environments beyond the classroom, and developing authentic, performance-based assessments might yield greater returns for educational quality and equity than focusing primarily on learning style inventories. It advocates for a balanced approach where understanding learner diversity is one part of a broader strategy to dismantle systemic barriers to learning.

Based on previous research that has been conducted, there is a significant gap between this study and previous findings. Research conducted by Zakiya Qothrun Nada [65] entitled "The Influence of Learning Styles on Student Learning Outcomes at the Junior High School Level" firmly concluded that learning styles have a very large influence on the learning outcomes of junior high school students in Indonesia. This conclusion is supported by a synthesis of 3 previous literature [66]-[68] the majority of which found a positive and significant correlation between various learning styles (visual, auditory, kinesthetic) with learning outcomes in subjects such as Science, Social Studies, Aqidah Akhlak, and Mathematics. Similarly, a study by Ranindya Masyarah Gustiary [69] entitled "The Relationship Between Learning Style and Gender on Mathematics Learning Outcomes" found a significant relationship between learning style and mathematics learning outcomes ( $r=0.477$ ), although no direct relationship was found between gender and learning outcomes. Meanwhile, a study by M. Arif et al [70] entitled "Learning Styles and Creativity of Students in the Islamic Education Management Study Program" confirmed that certain learning styles (competitive, avoidant, and independent) were found to be highly correlated with dimensions of creativity such as fluency and flexibility, indirectly reinforcing the paradigm that recognizing learning styles is crucial for optimal learning outcomes.

The gap in this research lies in findings that contradict the consensus of the three studies mentioned above. While previous studies, particularly Nada (2025) and Gustiary (2020), have established the narrative that learning style is a significant determinant, this study finds that learning style does not significantly influence English language achievement in specific contexts. This gap lies not only in outcomes, but more fundamentally in paradigms and contexts. Previous studies have tended to focus on content subjects (Mathematics, Science, Social Studies) and secondary or tertiary education contexts, relying on conventional summative assessments. In contrast, this study focuses on English as a foreign language learning in a rural Islamic elementary school setting, taking into account the SDG 4 framework that emphasizes inclusive, equitable, and quality education. These findings suggest that in unique socio-linguistic contexts (dominance of local languages, limited exposure to the target language) and with teaching approaches that may not yet be differentiated, individual "learning style" variables are apparently overridden by larger environmental and pedagogical factors. Thus, this study challenges the generalization of previous research findings and shifts attention from a solely learner's preference-based approach to a more holistic analysis of the learning ecosystem, in line with the principles of sustainability and equity in SDG 4.

This research introduces several significant novelties that distinguish it from previous studies. Firstly, it provides a crucial contextual counterpoint by challenging the predominantly accepted narrative of learning styles' significant influence within a specific, under researched setting: a rural Islamic elementary school (*Madrasah Ibtidaiyah*). While prior studies have largely been conducted in urban or general junior high school environments, this study shifts the focus to a unique socio-cultural and educational milieu where local language dominance (Makassarese) and specific religious institutional characteristics may fundamentally alter the dynamics of foreign language acquisition [71], [72]. Secondly, it innovatively integrates the Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4) framework as an analytical lens. Instead of merely examining the correlation between two variables, this study interrogates whether the common pedagogical prescription of catering to learning styles aligns with the SDG 4 principles of inclusive and equitable quality education in a resource-constrained environment. It questions if a focus on individualized learning styles is the most effective or equitable strategy for achieving foundational English proficiency (Target 4.1) in such a context. This conceptual integration of global education policy with a localized empirical investigation represents a substantial scholarly contribution [73]. Thirdly, the research highlights the primacy of environmental and instructional factors over psychological preferences in this specific

case. By identifying that a "one-size-fits-all" teaching approach and limited linguistic exposure neutralize potential learning style advantages, the study offers a nuanced caveat to the learning styles theory, suggesting its applicability is not universal but is heavily mediated by contextual constraints. Thus, the novelty lies not in disproving the theory outright, but in delineating the boundary conditions under which it may or may not hold significant practical value for learning outcomes.

The findings carry important implications for multiple stakeholders in education. For teachers and school administrators in similar rural or linguistically isolated settings, the study implies that investing disproportionate effort in diagnosing and catering to individual learning styles may not yield the expected returns in English achievement. Instead, professional development efforts and resources might be more effectively channeled towards: (1) enhancing teachers' competence in employing multisensory, communicative language teaching methods that inherently benefit all learners (e.g., through songs, games, and TPR), and (2) strategizing ways to increase students' exposure and practice opportunities with the English language within and beyond the classroom. For curriculum developers and policymakers aiming to fulfill SDG 4 mandates, the research implies the need for context-sensitive pedagogical guidelines [74], [75]. Prescriptive policies advocating for learning-style differentiation must be balanced with support for foundational, context-appropriate teaching quality and resource allocation that addresses larger systemic barriers, such as linguistic environment and teaching materials. For theoretical discourse, the study implies that models of language acquisition and achievement must more rigorously incorporate macro-contextual variables as moderating or even overriding factors. It cautions against the direct application of theories developed in different settings without considering the ecological validity. Finally, for assessment practices, it implies the necessity of moving beyond summative exam scores to employ more comprehensive, performance-based assessments that might capture proficiencies and potential style interactions not visible in traditional tests.

This study is subject to several limitations that qualify its conclusions and point to directions for future research. The primary limitation is its specific and delimited context a single rural Islamic elementary school in Indonesia. While this allows for deep contextual analysis, the findings cannot be generalized to all elementary schools, urban settings, different subject matters, or other cultural environments without further investigation [76], [77]. The measurement of the dependent variable is another key limitation. Relying solely on final semester exam scores as a proxy for "English achievement" provides a narrow, likely cognitive and written-biased, snapshot of student proficiency. It fails to capture oral communicative competence, fluency, motivation, or affective outcomes, which might correlate differently with learning styles. The research design itself, being a non-experimental study, establishes association rather than causation. While it identifies that learning styles showed no significant effect, it cannot definitively rule out all potential causal pathways or interactions under different instructional conditions. Furthermore, the assessment of teaching methods was based on observation and inference; a more detailed analysis of the actual classroom practices and their alignment (or misalignment) with different styles would strengthen the claims about the "one-size-fits-all" approach. Finally, the study focuses on a limited set of learning style categorizations (e.g., VAK). Future research could explore more complex models of learning preferences or include other learner variables (e.g., motivation, self-regulation) to paint a more complete picture of their interplay with environmental factors in determining learning outcomes in similar contexts.

In conclusion, while identifying students' learning styles is valuable for teacher awareness and can contribute to creating a more varied and engaging learning environment, this study found it not to be a determining factor for English learning outcomes in the specific context of State Elementary Madrasah Bontolangkasa. To improve English learning outcomes, a more comprehensive approach is needed, focusing on improving teaching quality, enriching language exposure, creating a supportive learning environment, and involving parents and the community. Future research could employ mixed methods to explore in-depth how teaching methods in the classroom interact with student learning styles and involve other outcome variables such as learning motivation or communication skills.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study found no significant influence of students' learning styles (visual, auditory, kinesthetic) on their English learning outcomes at State Elementary Madrasah Bontolangkasa, thereby rejecting the initial hypothesis. The results indicate that in this specific context, factors such as the linguistic environment, uniform teaching methods, and the multisensory nature of language learning itself likely play a more substantial role than individual style preferences. These findings suggest a shift in practical focus from style-based instruction toward enhancing overall teaching quality and enriching the learning ecosystem. Prospects for further research include exploring the dominant environmental and instructional factors affecting achievement, employing longitudinal or experimental designs to test style-matching interventions under controlled conditions, and utilizing broader, performance-based assessment metrics to gain a more comprehensive understanding of language learning outcomes. In conclusion, this study, framed within the pursuit of SDG 4 (Quality Education), found no significant influence of students' learning styles. The results provide an important caveat for the global education community:

achieving equitable learning outcomes (SDG 4.5) requires moving beyond popular yet potentially limited psychological constructs to address the deeper contextual and systemic factors that define a child's learning ecosystem

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express sincere gratitude to all parties who have provided invaluable assistance, guidance, and support throughout the completion of this research. Deep appreciation is extended to the supervisors for their patient mentorship, insightful feedback, and continuous encouragement during the research and writing process. Special thanks are also due to the educators and participants involved in this study for their cooperation and willingness to share their time and experiences. Furthermore, the author is profoundly thankful to family and colleagues for their unwavering moral support, motivation, and understanding. May all contributions be of lasting benefit.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Zeng, "A theoretical review of the role of teacher professional development in EFL students' learning achievement," *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. e15806, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e15806.
- [2] A. F. Yani, A. Aziz, and M. Prihaswati, "System literature review: Self-efficacy terhadap kemampuan pemecahan masalah dalam mengerjakan soal hots ditinjau dari gaya belajar," *Pas. J. Res. Math. Learn. Educ.*, vol. 10, pp. 193–203, 2025, doi: 10.23969/symmetry.v10i2.35380.
- [3] W. Apriliani and A. Muhid, "The role of emotional intelligence in building effective learning styles: a review from perspective of islamic education," *Al-Hikmah J. Agama dan Ilmu Pengetah.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 419–432, 2025, doi: 10.25299/ajaip.2025.vol22(2).22554.
- [4] E. Language, U. P. Sultan, and T. Malim, "A review of cooperative learning in EFL classroom," *ASIAN Pendidik.*, vol. 1, pp. 1–9, 2021, doi: 10.53797/aspen.v1i1.1a.2021.
- [5] A. Y. Hana and P. N. Malasari, "Kesulitan belajar matematika ditinjau dari gaya belajar siswa: Systematic literature review," *J. Edukasi dan Sains Mat.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 101–114, 2024, doi: 10.25134/jes-mat.v10i2.9461.
- [6] A. H. Manek, Sunimbar, and E. Ersani, "Modalitas belajar pada pembelajaran geografi: Tinjauan literatur dan implikasinya terhadap pembelajaran," *J. Pendidik. Geogr. Undiksha*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 245–250, 2025, doi: 10.23887/jjpg.v13i2.94765.
- [7] A. S. Fauziyah and Nurjanah, "Systematic literature review : kemampuan komunikasi matematis ditinjau dari gaya belajar siswa," *J. Pendidik. Mat.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 184–190, 2025, doi: 10.33087/phi.v9i1.430.
- [8] L. Ariani, S. N. Ulya, A. H. Had, and D. Fakhriyana, "Systematic literature review : pengaruh teknologi terhadap gaya belajar matematika peserta didik," *J. Ilm. Pendidik. Mat. Al-Qalasadi*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 108–119, 2025, doi: 10.32505/qalasadi.v9i1.10039.
- [9] R. Olivia, D. Rahmi, S. Yuniat, and A. Kurniati, "Systematic literature review: Kemampuan berpikir kritis siswa ditinjau dari gaya belajar," *J. Ilm. Profesi Pendidik.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 896–903, 2024, doi: 10.29303/jipp.v9i2.2167.
- [10] Hadaniah, Mustafa, and S. A. Kamaruddin, "Pengaruh gaya belajar dan motivasi belajar terhadap hasil belajar siswa," *Didakt. J. Ilm. PGSD FKIP Univ. Mandiri*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 279–286, 2025, doi: 10.36989/didaktik.v11i04.9217.
- [11] D. Maharani, R. Wahyuni, S. A. Rahmadea, M. L. Nugraini, F. Fakhriyah, and N. Fajrie, "Systematic literature review: upaya meningkatkan hasil belajar melalui penggunaan media audio-visual," *J. Strateg. Pembelajaran*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 65–72, 2024, doi: 10.61798/jsp.v1i2.160.
- [12] R. Kurniawati, D. Juandi, and S. L. Review, "Systematic literature review: kemampuan representasi visual matematis pada pembelajaran matematika," *Algoritm. J. Math. Educ.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 26–36, 2023, doi: 10.15408/ajme.v5i1.32603.
- [13] D. Rad *et al.*, "Pathways to inclusive and equitable quality early childhood education for achieving SDG goal — a scoping review," *Sec. Educ. Psychol.*, vol. 13, no. 3, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.955833.
- [14] O. L. Usman, R. C. Muniyandi, K. Omar, M. Mohamad, and G. Matter, "Advance machine learning methods for dyslexia biomarker detection: A review of implementation details and challenges," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 36879–36897, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3062709.
- [15] L. Childs-kean, M. Edwards, and D. Smith, "Use of learning style frameworks in health science education," *Am. J. ofPharmaceutical Educ.*, vol. 84, no. 7, 2020, doi: 10.5688/ajpe7885.
- [16] W. Cao and Z. Yu, "The impact of augmented reality on student attitudes, motivation, and learning achievements—a meta-analysis (2016–2023)," *Humanit. Soc. Sci. Commun.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2023, doi: 10.1057/s41599-023-01852-2.
- [17] R. Meylani, "A critical glance at technology's role in mathematics education for a sustainable future: advancing sdg 4 - quality education through a systematic review and qualitative synthesis," *J. Lifestyle SDGs Rev.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–49, 2025, doi: 10.47172/2965-730X.SDGsReview.v5.n02.pe04566.
- [18] Y. Ma, "The effect of teachers' self-efficacy and creativity on english as a foreign language learners' academic achievement," *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 13, no. April, pp. 1–4, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.872147.
- [19] H. M. Asim, A. Vaz, A. Ahmed, and S. Sadiq, "A review on outcome based education and factors that impact student learning outcomes in tertiary education system," *Int. Educ. Stud.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 1–11, 2021, doi: 10.5539/ies.v14n2p1.
- [20] D. C. P. Palomino, A. B. Garcia, and E. M. Valdivia, "What does education for sustainable development offer in initial teacher training? A systematic review," *J. Teach. Educ. Sustain.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 99–114, 2021, doi: 10.2478/jtes-2021-0008.
- [21] H. M. Abualadas and L. Xu, "Achievement of learning outcomes in non-traditional ( online ) versus traditional ( face-to-face ) anatomy teaching in medical schools : A mixed method systematic review," *Clin. Anat.*, vol. 6, no. June 2022, pp. 50–76, 2023, doi: 10.1002/ca.23942.

[22] A. G. Putrada, M. Abdurohman, D. Perdana, and H. H. Nuha, "Machine Learning Methods in Smart Lighting Toward Achieving User Comfort: A Survey," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 45137–45178, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3169765.

[23] I. Amalia and H. K. Surtikanti, "Kajian literature: penerapan project based learning terkait tujuan pembangunan berkelanjutan poin 2 untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir kreatif dan kolaborasi siswa," *J. Jeumpa*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 63–74, 2024, doi: 10.33059/jj.v1i1.9581.

[24] N. Nguyen, T. Duyen, and V. T. Nghia, "Augmented reality in english language teaching: A literature review on catering to diverse learning styles," *Int. J. TESOL Educ.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 71–87, 2025, doi: 10.54855/ijte.25514.

[25] P. Wahyuningsih, I. A. Kurniawan, and E. Prasetyo, "Implementasi smart city dalam mendukung pembangunan berkelanjutan (sdgs) di kota Cilegon," *J. Ilm. Wahana Pendidik.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 655–664, 2024, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.10530774.

[26] H. Azzahra, S. Syakirah, T. Maulida, R. Ai, and L. Hidayat, "Pembangunan ekonomi dan konservasi lingkungan dalam kebijakan pembangunan berkelanjutan: studi tinjauan literatur," *Indones. Econ. J.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 1662–1673, 2025, doi: 10.63822/aa4pw60.

[27] I. W. Karmana, "Pendidikan berkelanjutan dan berwawasan lingkungan sebagai arah baru pendidikan indonesia," *Educ. J. Ilm. Ilmu Pendidik.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 96–105, 2026, doi: 10.36312/educatoria.v6i1.1005.

[28] A. D. Agustin, "Tren dan evolusi penelitian terkait pendidikan untuk pembangunan berkelanjutan: sebuah kajian bibliometrik," *Ideguru J. Karya Ilm. Guru*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 944–955, 2025, doi: 10.51169/ideguru.v10i2.1190 Accredited.

[29] E. S. Anggraeni, R. A. Putri, A. W. Tristania, T. Maharani, Wirhanuddin, and A. Rahmadani, "Kajian literatur penerapan kimia hijau dan tujuan pembangunan berkelanjutan dalam pembelajaran kimia," *Chem. Educ. J.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 604–616, 2024, doi: 10.30862/accej.v7i2.739.

[30] S. H. S and G. Umar, "Konstruksi sosial terhadap risiko lingkungan dan implikasinya terhadap partisipasi pembangunan berkelanjutan," *Trends Appl. Sci. Soc. Sci. Educ.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 31–50, 2025, doi: 10.71383/tase.v3i1.84.

[31] H. Husamah, A. Rahardjanto, T. I. Permana, and N. Lestari, "Menyelidik kontribusi sepakbola pada tujuan pembangunan berkelanjutan: tinjauan literatur dari metadata scopus," *SEPAKBOLA*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 59–76, 2025, doi: 10.33292/sepakbola.v5i1.418 This.

[32] W. D. Sari, "Education sustainability development (esd) teori pada manajemen pendidikan islam di era digital," *Edukasi Islam. J. Pendidik. Islam*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 153–170, 2023, doi: 10.30868/ei.v12i001.5271.

[33] B. I. Mega, M. P. Wibisono, and N. F. Hidayanti, "Peran keuangan sosial islam dalam mewujudkan tujuan pembangunan berkelanjutan (sdgs): tinjauan literatur komprehensif," *J. Islam. Econ. Financ.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 356–364, 2025, doi: 10.70248/joieaf.v1i4.2313.

[34] M. I. Hanafi, S. B. Kurniawan, and T. Budiharto, "Analisis permainan game online terhadap hasil belajar siswa kelas V Sekolah Dasar," *JPI (Jurnal Pendidik. Indones.)*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2023, doi: 10.20961/jpiuns.v9i2.74727.

[35] Marjiah, "Peningkatan minat dan hasil belajar expression dengan penggunaan cooperative learning make a match pada siswa SMA negeri 1 Tegalombo," *Lang. J. Inov. Pendidik. Bhs. dan Sastra*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 138–143, 2023, doi: 10.51878/language.v3i3.2470.

[36] E. Luthfiyah and Sukarno, "Independent learning in higher education: perspectives and challenges from efl students," *J. Foreign Lang.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 219–231, 2025, doi: 10.58194/eloquence.v4i2.2848.

[37] R. Supatmi, H. Suhendra, S. Andriani, R. Azwar, and E. Mandasari, "Analisis literature review pada merdeka belajar kampus merdeka sebagai catalyst untuk inovasi pedagogi dalam pendidikan Bahasa Inggris," *Merdeka Belajar Kampus Merdeka*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 46–51, 2024, doi: 10.55732/mbkm.v1i1.1180.

[38] R. Handayani, S. Neviyarni, Afdal, F. A. Sari, and Sefinal, "Implementasi psikologi pendidikan dalam meningkatkan motivasi dan prestasi belajar mahasiswa di stkip pesisir selatan: tinjauan literatur," *J. Manaj. Pendidik.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 2471–2477, 2025, doi: 10.34125/jmp.v10i4.990 ABSTRAK.

[39] S. A. Rajagukguk, "Tinjauan pustaka sistematis: prediksi prestasi belajar peserta didik dengan algoritma pembelajaran mesin," *J. Sains, Nalar, dan Apl. Teknol. Inf.*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.20885/snati.v1i1.4.

[40] K. Ayu, K. Dewi, A. R. Fatmawan, A. W. Ilmawan, N. Gusti, and A. L. Rusmayani, "Analisis penggunaan bahasa inggris dalam pembelajaran renang: tinjauan literatur," *J. Pendidik. Olahraga*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 127–134, 2025, doi: 10.37630/jpo.v15i3.2609.

[41] Y. Wei, "Toward technology-based education and english as a foreign language motivation: a review of literature," *Concept. Anal.*, vol. 13, no. April, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.870540.

[42] Nurohmat, "The effect of online learning on students' learning achievement (overview of learning english achievement)," *J. Ilmu Pendidik. STKIP Kusuma Negara*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 165–171, 2010, doi: 10.37640/jip.v12i2.865.

[43] F. A. Sudirman and F. T. Susilawaty, "Kesetaraan gender dalam tujuan pembangunan berkelanjutan (sdgs) : suatu review literatur sistematis," *J. Publicuho*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 995–1010, 2022, doi: 10.35817/publicuho.v5i4.41.

[44] A. Rofiq, I. S. Rufiana, and R. S. I. Dewi, "Tren penelitian literasi lingkungan pada pendidikan dasar dan implikasinya terhadap pembangunan berkelanjutan: Systematic literature review dan bibliometric analysis," *Pendas J. Ilm. Pendidik. Dasar*, vol. 10, 2025, doi: 10.23969/jp.v10i4.35645.

[45] M. Mesinas and S. Casanova, "The value of communal and intergenerational settings for studying social and emotional learning," *Child Dev. Perspect.*, vol. 17, no. 3–4, pp. 122–128, 2023, doi: 10.1111/cdep.12485.

[46] R. D. Markello *et al.*, "Neuromaps: Structural and functional interpretation of brain maps," *Nat. Methods*, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 1472–1479, 2022, doi: 10.1038/s41592-022-01625-w.

[47] K. Twabu, "Investigating schumpeter 's innovation theory in the context of AI in higher education," *Twabu Discov. Educ.*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1007/s44217-025-00855-2.

[48] J. A. Gobana, "Investigating quality education in mother tongue : Ensuring children 's rights to access and equity schooling," *Cogent Educ.*, vol. 12, no. 1, p., 2025, doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2025.2545617.

[49] B. Kannan, G. Shanmugavelu, S. Arumugam, S. M. Baskaran, and B. Parasuraman, "Students' learning styles in the classroom and it's importance to educators in the teaching and learning process: an overview," *EPRA Int. J. Multidiscip. Res.*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 64–70, 2021, doi: 10.36713/epra2013.

[50] A. M. Almarwani and R. Elshatarat, "Understanding learning styles in undergraduate nursing programs of the kingdom of saudi arabia: an integrative literature review," *Open Nurs. J.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 1–11, 2022, doi: 10.2174/18744346-v16-e2209260.

[51] F. Shakeri, M. Ghazanfarpour, N. Malakoti, and M. S. Houni, "Learning styles of medical students : A systematic review," *Med. Educ. Bull.*, vol. 3, no. 8, pp. 441–456, 2022, doi: 10.22034/MEB.2022.328652.1050.

[52] C. I. K. Putri, "Research publications in language maintenance: An overview of scopus data in 2009--2018," *J. Etnolinguist*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 92–103, 2020, doi: 10.20473/etno.v4i2.23548.

[53] K. Putri, "Implementing a differentiation approach in teaching to accommodate diverse learning styles of primary school students : A systematic literature review," *J. Lesson Learn. Stud.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 119–128, 2025, doi: 10.23887/jlls.v8i1.89659 Implementing.

[54] F. Anggraini, D. Oktageri, M. Akbar, and H. Yustisia, "Implementasi pembelajaran berbasis visual, auditory dan kinesthetic (vak) di pendidikan kejuruan: systematic literature review," *J. Ilm. Edutic Pendidik. dan Inform.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 149–159, 2024, doi: 10.21107/edutic.v10i2.26228.

[55] S. Aulia, D. Rachmadhani, and P. U. Kamalia, "Analisis strategi pembelajaran berdiferensiasi terhadap hasil belajar peserta didik: Systematic literature review," *Asatiza J. Pendidik.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 178–192, 2023, doi: 10.46963/asatiza.v4i3.1231.

[56] A. S. Wahyuni, "Literature review: Pendekatan berdiferensiasi dalam pembelajaran IPA," *J. Pendidik. MIPA*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 118–126, 2022, doi: 10.37630/jpm.v12i2.562.

[57] A. S. Wulandari, "Literature review: Pendekatan berdiferensiasi solusi pembelajaran dalam keberagaman," *J. Pendidik. MIPA*, vol. 12, no. September, pp. 682–689, 2022, doi: 10.37630/jpm.v12i3.620.

[58] S. B. Wicaksana and Y. Anistyasari, "Tinjauan pustaka sistematis tentang penggunaan flashcard pada media pembelajaran berbasis augmented reality," *IT-Edu J. Inf. Technol. Educ.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 121–131, 2020, doi: 10.26740/it-edu.v5i2.36597.

[59] F. Karataş, F. Yaşar, and F. O. Gunyel, "Incorporating AI in foreign language education : An investigation into ChatGPT 's effect on foreign language learners," *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 29, no. 15, pp. 19343–19366, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10639-024-12574-6.

[60] Y. Wang, A. Derakhshan, and L. J. Zhang, "Researching and practicing positive psychology in second/foreign language learning and teaching: the past, current status and future directions," *Concept. Anal.*, vol. 12, no. August, 2021, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.731721.

[61] A. Jahrani and R. Listia, "The impact of exposure on second language acquisition," *J. Lang. Teach. Learn. Linguist. Lit.*, vol. 4778, pp. 416–424, 2023, doi: 10.24256/ideas.v11i1.3581.

[62] L. R. Amir, I. Tanti, D. A. Maharani, Y. S. Wimardhani, V. Julia, and B. Sulijaya, "Student perspective of classroom and distance learning during COVID-19 pandemic in the undergraduate dental study program Universitas Indonesia," *BMC Med. Educ.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1–8, 2020, doi: 10.1186/s12909-020-02312-0.

[63] M. Yağcı, "Educational data mining : prediction of students ' academic performance using machine learning algorithms," *Smart Learn. Environ.*, vol. 9, no. 11, 2022, doi: 10.1186/s40561-022-00192-z.

[64] H. A. El-Sabagh, "Adaptive e - learning environment based on learning styles and its impact on development students ' engagement," *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, 2021, doi: 10.1186/s41239-021-00289-4.

[65] Z. Q. Nada, "Pengaruh gaya belajar terhadap hasil belajar siswa setingkat sekolah menengah pertama," *J. Ilm. Nusant.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 496–506, 2025, doi: 10.61722/jinu.v2i1.3391.

[66] M. Fathoni and Y. A. Astuti, "Analisis hubungan dan pengaruh antara gaya belajar dan gaya berpikir terhadap capaian akademik mahasiswa manajemen informatika," *Remik Ris. dan E-Jurnal Manaj. Inform. Kompute*, vol. 7, pp. 686–692, 2023, doi: 10.33395/remik.v7i1.12121.

[67] P. D. W. Dariyanti, D. T. Manafe, J. Sihotang, and C. L. H. Folamauk, "Hubungan gaya belajar varik (visual, auditori, read- write dan kinestetik) dengan prestasi akademik mahasiswa fakultas kedokteran," *Cendana Med. J.*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2021, doi: 10.35508/cmj.v9i1.4925.

[68] S. Saswati, D. Q. Ainin, Ronnarasafa, and Di. Rahadianti, "Hubungan motivasi belajar dan gaya belajar varik dengan prestasi akademik mahasiswa fakultas kedokteran universitas islam al-azhar," *Nusant. Hasana J.*, vol. 2, no. 11, pp. 60–70, 2023, doi: 10.59003/nhj.v2i11.824.

[69] R. M. Gustiary and D. Idayani, "Hubungan antara gaya belajar dan jenis kelamin terhadap hasil belajar matematika," *EDUSAINTEK J. Pendidik. Sains dan Teknol.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 29–38, 2020, doi: 10.47668/edusaintek.v7i1.54.

[70] M. Arif, N. Hayati, and S. Saputri, "Gaya belajar dan kreatifitas mahasiswa program studi manajemen pendidikan islam," *J. Pendidik. Agama Islam Al-Thariqah*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2022, doi: 10.25299/al-thariqah.2022.vol7(1).10029.

[71] A. A. Saputro, "Pengaruh prestasi belajar dilihat gaya belajar pada mahasiswa pendidikan jasmani," *J. S.P.O.R.T*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 25–31, 2022, doi: 10.37058/sport.v6i1.4931.

[72] M. Safa'udin and L. R. Hima, "Pengaruh kecerdasan emosional (ke) terhadap prestasi belajar matematika dengan gaya belajar (gb) sebagai variabel moderasi," *Dharma Pendidik.*, vol. 19, no. April, pp. 73–80, 2024, doi: 10.69866/dp.v20i1.521.

[73] S. S. Nihaya and T. Yuniarisih, "Pengaruh kesiapan dan gaya belajar terhadap prestasi belajar siswa ( the effect of readiness and learning style on students learning)," vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 267–280, 2020, doi: 10.17509/jpm.v4i2.18008.

[74] S. E. Wahyuni, M. Tendri, and N. I. Kusumawati, "Hubungan gaya belajar dengan prestasi belajar matematika siswa kelas xi smk Muhammadiyah 1 Palembang," *Indiktika J. Inov. Pendidik. Mat.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 208–216, 2021, doi: 10.31851/indiktika.v3i2.5357.

[75] R. Rahim and N. Fadhilah, "Hubungan antara gaya belajar dan efikasi diri dengan hasil belajar siswa," *JRIP J. Ris. dan*

*Inov. Pembelajaran*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 71–84, 2022, doi: 10.51574/jrip.v3i1.871.

[76] Yurianton and A. S. Asmi, “Hubungan antara motivasi belajar dengan prestasi belajar mahasiswa Pendahuluan Metode Jenis penelitian deskriptif analitik dengan pendekatan cross sectional yaitu survey analitik,” *J. Ilm. Kesehat. Sandi Husada*, vol. 9, pp. 971–975, 2020, doi: 10.35816/jiskh.v10i2.449.

[77] R. N. Putri, N. Hidayah, and Mujidin, “Penyesuaian diri, dukungan sosial, dan gaya belajar visual terhadap stres akademik siswa di masa pandemi,” *Psyche 165 J.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 5–11, 2021, doi: 10.35134/jpsy165.v14i4.136.