



Deep Learning and Inquiry Approach for Enhancing Learning Outcomes of College Students

Mochamad Muchson^{1,*}, Irawan Hadi Wiranata², M. Anas¹, Rr. Forijati¹, Hariyono¹,
Herdian Tria Wulan Sari², Surya Ayu Azizah¹

¹ Postgraduate of Economic Education, University of Nusantara PGRI Kediri, Jawa Timur, Indonesia

² Pancasila and Citizenship Education, University of Nusantara PGRI Kediri, Jawa Timur, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jan 01, 2026

Revised Feb 16, 2026

Accepted Mar 11, 2026

OnlineFirst Apr 24, 2026

Keywords:

Deep Learning
Economic Education
Inquiry Learning
Learning Outcomes
Lesson Planning

ABSTRACT

Purpose of the study: This study examines the effect of integrating Inquiry-based learning with a pedagogical Deep Learning approach on students' learning outcomes in a Learning Planning course.

Methodology: Employing a one-group pretest–posttest quantitative design, the study involved N = 50 undergraduate students from two teacher education institutions in East Java, Indonesia, involving Economics Education students from Universitas Nusantara PGRI Kediri and Universitas PGRI Mpu Sindok Nganjuk. The instructional intervention emphasized Inquiry activities aligned with Deep Learning principles mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning.

Main Findings: Data were collected using a validated learning outcomes test administered before and after the intervention and analyzed through paired-sample t-tests. The findings indicate a statistically significant improvement in students' learning outcomes, with mean scores increasing from 73.40 (pretest) to 84.80 (posttest), yielding an average gain of 11.4 points ($p < 0.05$).

Novelty/Originality of this study: These results suggest that the integration of Inquiry-based learning and Deep Learning principles holds potential for enhancing pedagogical competence in Learning Planning courses within teacher education programs.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license

© 2026 by the author(s)



Corresponding Author:

Mochamad Muchson,

Postgraduate Master of Economic Education, University of Nusantara PGRI Kediri, Jl. K.H. Ahmad Dahlan No. 76, East Java, 64112, Indonesia

Email: mucshon@unpkediri.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

Deep Learning and Inquiry Learning approaches position the learning process as an active and reflective activity oriented towards conceptual understanding and the development of thinking skills. Deep Learning emphasizes a learning process that focuses on deep conceptual understanding, the interconnection between ideas, and students' ability to apply knowledge in various new contexts [1]-[3]. This approach encourages students to not merely memorize information, but to construct meaning through a continuous process of reflective and analytical thinking. Deep Learning is highly relevant in the context of higher education because this approach not only promotes deep conceptual understanding, but also enhances critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative skills that graduates need to face the challenges of the workplace and the increasingly complex

and rapidly changing developments in global society [4]-[6]. Therefore, learning strategies in higher education need to be designed to encourage high cognitive engagement and meaningful learning [7].

Aligned with Deep Learning, Inquiry learning places students as active subjects in the learning process. Inquiry encourages students to ask questions, explore problems, collect and analyze information, and draw conclusions based on the evidence obtained [8]-[10]. This process enables students to build knowledge through authentic and reflective learning experiences. The inquiry approach also plays an important role in developing higher-order thinking skills, such as analysis, evaluation, and synthesis [11]-[14]. In addition, inquiry can increase students' motivation to learn and their sense of responsibility for their own learning process and outcomes, making learning more meaningful and oriented toward long-term understanding. The integration of Deep Learning and Inquiry is believed to create a more comprehensive learning process oriented towards competency achievement [15]. The combination of these two approaches enables students to not only understand concepts in depth, but also apply them in real-life situations through a process of inquiry and reflection. Thus, the application of Deep Learning through the Inquiry strategy can strengthen student learning outcomes while improving the overall quality of the learning process [16].

Several studies have shown that Deep Learning makes a positive contribution to improving academic achievement, long-term conceptual understanding, and students' ability to solve complex problems [17]-[21]. Meanwhile, the Inquiry approach has shows a positive improvement in increasing student learning independence, creativity, academic interaction, and engagement in the learning proces [22], [23]. Nevertheless, the implementation of these two approaches is often carried out separately and has not been systematically integrated into a single learning design. This condition indicates an opportunity to develop a learning model that combines Deep Learning and Inquiry in an integrated manner, especially in courses that require reflective and applied thinking skills. One course that really needs a deep and active learning approach is the Learning Planning course, especially in the Economics Education Study Program. This course aims to equip prospective teachers with the ability to develop systematic, logical, and meaningful lesson plans. However in practice, students often find it difficult to formulate learning objectives that are aligned with learning activities, develop coherent learning steps, and develop authentic assessments that are appropriate for learning outcomes. This problem shows that conventional learning approaches have not been fully able to optimally develop learning planning competencies.

Despite the growing body of research on Inquiry-based learning and Deep Learning as independent pedagogical approaches, empirical studies that integrate both frameworks within teacher education contexts remain limited. Existing literature predominantly focuses on general learning achievement or cognitive outcomes, while comparatively little attention has been given to Learning Planning courses, which require higher levels of reflective and integrative pedagogical thinking. Moreover, few studies employ empirical designs capable of capturing learning gains resulting from such integration. This gap underscores the need for systematic investigation into how Inquiry-based Deep Learning influences learning outcomes and pedagogical competencies in teacher education programs.

Based on these problems, this study proposes the application of an Inquiry strategy combined with a Deep Learning approach as a pedagogical solution in the Learning Planning course. Through the Inquiry strategy, students are actively involved in analyzing examples of learning designs, identifying pedagogical issues, developing lesson plans, and reflecting on their work. The Deep Learning approach strengthens this process by encouraging learning awareness, conceptual understanding, and deep reflection on students' learning experiences. The novelty of this research lies in the direct integration of Inquiry and Deep Learning into the Learning Planning course in the Economics Education Study Program, as well as testing its effectiveness in improving student learning outcomes and the ability to develop holistic and reflective learning plans.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

Explaining research chronological, including research design, research procedure (in the form of algorithms, Pseudocode or other), how to test and data acquisition [1], [16]. The description of the course of research should be supported references, so the explanation can be accepted scientifically [2], [3]. Tables and Figures are presented center, as shown in Table 1 and Figure 1, and cited in the manuscript before appeared. In fill table for number must center and left for text.

This study uses a quantitative approach, with a quasi-experimental one-group pretest-posttest design which is a research approach that emphasizes objective measurement of variables and numerical data analysis to obtain conclusions that can be tested statistically [24], [25]. A quantitative approach was chosen because the main objective of this study was to measure the improvement in student learning outcomes after applying the Inquiry strategy combined with the Deep Learning approach in the Learning Planning course. Through this approach, changes in student learning outcomes could be observed systematically by comparing scores before and after the learning treatment. The quantitative design allows researchers to assess the effectiveness of learning models measurably and objectively [26], [27], so that research results can be scientifically accounted for. The

research used a quantitative conducted within a bounded classroom context because it focused on a specific learning context implemented in a real-life classroom situation. one-group pretest–posttest quantitative design provide researchers with the opportunity to thoroughly examine the application of a Deep Learning-based Inquiry strategy to the Learning Planning course in the Economics Education Study Program (*Program Studi Pendidikan Ekonomi*). Through this approach, the study not only describes student learning outcomes but also relates them to the characteristics of the learning context under investigation. The application of case studies allows for a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of the learning process and its impact on student learning outcomes [28]-[30]. In addition, this design is relevant because the research subjects are a group of students with specific characteristics and learning needs as prospective teachers. Therefore, the combination of quantitative approaches and case studies is considered appropriate to provide a comprehensive empirical picture of the effectiveness of Deep Learning-based Inquiry strategies in improving student learning outcomes in the Learning Planning course.

The subjects in this study are students of the Economic Education Study Program who are currently taking the Learning Planning course at Nusantara PGRI University in Kediri (Universitas Nusantara PGRI Kediri) and PGRI Mpu Sindok University in Nganjuk (Universitas PGRI Mpu Sindok Nganjuk). The participants comprised $N = 50$ undergraduate students enrolled in the Learning Planning course, including 25 students from Universitas Nusantara PGRI Kediri and 25 students from Universitas PGRI Mpu Sindok Nganjuk. All participants were in their five semester, with an age range of 19-21 years. Data from both institutions were analyzed as a single group, as the participants followed an identical syllabus, instructional procedures, and assessment instruments. This approach ensured consistency in the instructional intervention and outcome measurement. The selection of research subjects was carried out purposively, by considering the suitability of the students' characteristics with the objectives of the study [31]-[33]. Students of Economic Education were selected as research subjects since they represent future teachers expected to demonstrate pedagogical competence, especially in systematically, logically, and reflectively constructing lesson plans. The Learning Planning course is a relevant context because it serves as a foundation for students in developing skills to design effective learning processes [34]-[37]. In addition, students at this stage already have basic knowledge about curriculum and learning, so they are ready to participate in Inquiry-based and Deep Learning. This study involves two universities with different backgrounds, and such institutional diversity is expected to enrich the context of the application of learning strategies without changing the focus of the study. By involving students from both universities, enhances contextual robustness, although findings remain limited to similar teacher education settings, enabling a more objective comparison of learning outcomes. Accordingly, the choice of subjects and research sites is considered appropriate for examining the effectiveness of the Deep Learning based Inquiry strategy in improving student learning outcomes in the Learning Planning course [38].

Quantitative analysis used SPSS. Descriptive statistics (means, SDs) were calculated for pre/post scores. The normality assumption was met (Shapiro-Wilk, $p > .05$), so a paired-sample t -test evaluated mean differences ($\alpha = 0.05$). The pretest mean (73.40, $SD=6.81$) and posttest mean (84.80, $SD=6.92$) were compared; the increase was statistically significant ($p < .001$). Cohen's d was computed ($d \approx 1.66$) to express effect magnitude. A *statistical analysis* paragraph: all analyses were predefined; effect size was interpreted using conventional benchmarks ($d > 0.8$ large). Post-hoc power was > 0.99 for the observed effect (indicating robustness). Qualitative findings were used as supportive contextual evidence were coded thematically; emergent codes related to student engagement, problem-solving, and perceived novelty of learning activities.

The research procedure was implemented through a systematically structured sequence of learning stages represent the syntax of the Inquiry strategy combined with the Deep Learning approach. Each stage is designed to be interconnected so that students can go through the learning process gradually, starting from understanding basic concepts to applying them in the development of lesson plans. The stages are designed to ensure that students have a strong conceptual foundation before engaging in higher-level thinking activities [39], such as analysis, synthesis, and pedagogical reflection. Through this learning process, students are guided to actively construct knowledge, not only receiving information, but processing and contextualizing it within lesson planning. This research procedure is also designed to facilitate students' cognitive and metacognitive engagement, so that learning would not end with the attainment of final outcomes, but includes understanding the process that is being undertaken. Collectively, these stages were expected to generate deep, reflective, and meaningful learning experiences, as well as have an impact on improving learning outcomes and the quality of learning designs produced by students [40], [41].

Student learning outcomes were assessed using a Learning Planning Achievement Test, consisting of 15 items designed to measure competencies in instructional objective formulation, alignment of learning activities, sequencing of instruction, and assessment design. The instrument was administered as both a pretest and posttest. Content validity was established through expert judgment by two lecturers specializing in Economics Education and instructional design. Reliability analysis using Cronbach's alpha yielded a coefficient of $\alpha = 0.80$, indicating acceptable internal consistency.

The first stage began with the presentation of core Learning Planning material by lecturers using the Inquiry strategy. At this stage, lecturers guided students in understanding the fundamental concepts of learning planning, including the formulation of Learning Objectives (*Tujuan Pembelajaran - TP*), the preparation of Learning Progression (*Alur Tujuan Pembelajaran - ATP*), the design of learning steps, and the development of assessments and scoring rubrics. The material is delivered with an emphasis on the principles of Deep Learning, namely mindful learning (*berkesadaran*) through student awareness of learning objectives and processes, meaningful learning (*bermakna*) through linking the material to real learning contexts in schools, and joyful (*menggemirakan*) learning through an interactive and participatory learning atmosphere [42]-[45]. This approach is designed to build students in-depth conceptual understanding before they enter the stage of developing independent learning plans [46].

The second stage constitutes the core of the Inquiry learning process, in which students are actively engaged in the processes of investigation and knowledge construction. During this phase, [47] students analyze various examples of learning designs, identify issues related to learning planning at the senior high school level, and formulate hypotheses regarding effective learning designs that meet learners needs. Subsequently, students develop their own lesson plans or teaching modules based on the results of their analysis and reflection. This stage situates students as active subjects in the learning process and encourages the development of critical thinking, analytical skills, and independent learning, which are the main objectives of the Inquiry strategy [48]-[51].

The third stage involved the execution of Microteaching, a simulated teaching activity conducted by students based on the lesson plans they have developed [52]-[56]. In this activity, students practice their learning design as if they were teaching in a high school classroom, so that each component of the learning plan can be tested in practice. Microteaching serves as a means to evaluate the feasibility and coherence of the lesson plans, while also providing students with direct experience in implementing their designs [57], [58]. In addition, this activity functions as a pedagogical reflection tool, enabling students to assess the strengths and weaknesses of their lesson plans.

The final stage consists of assessment and reflection on the learning process and outcomes that have been carried out. A Pretest was conducted prior to the implementation of Inquiry-based Deep Learning, followed by a Posttest upon completion of the instructional sequence, in order to evaluate improvements in student achievement. Formative evaluation was further facilitated through lecturer feedback on the lesson plans produced by students. Additionally, students were required to reflect on their learning experiences, cognitive processes, and the knowledge acquired during instruction. Such reflection was designed to promote metacognitive awareness and reinforce the deep learning that formed the central focus of this study [59].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Improvement of Student Learning Outcomes

The research findings indicate a significant improvement in students' learning achievements following the implementation of the Inquiry strategy integrated with the Deep Learning approach. Based on the result of the Paired Samples Statistics test, the average Pretest score was 73.40 with a standard deviation of 6.809, which increased to 84.80 with a standard deviation of 6.922 in the Posttest. This 11.4 point gain demonstrates that the instructional design not only enhanced evaluation scores but also improved the depth of students' understanding of the subject matter. This improvement suggests that students were able to construct deeper conceptual understanding through a learning process oriented toward exploration, analysis, and reflection. The Inquiry strategy encouraged students to actively identify instructional problems, formulate questions, and seek solutions grounded in theoretical study and practical contexts. When combined with the Deep Learning approach, the Inquiry process became more meaningful, as students focused not only on final outcomes but also on the underlying cognitive processes that drive learning.

Table 1. Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	PreTest	73.40	50	6.809	.963
	PostTest	84.80	50	6.922	.979

Table 1 presents a quantitative comparison of students' Pretest and Posttest results, showing a consistent increase in the average scores. The relatively stable standard deviation indicates that the improvement in learning outcomes occurred evenly across most students, rather than being limited to specific groups. This reinforces the finding that the applied instructional strategy was effective for all participants.

Table 2. Paired Samples Test

		Paired Differences					t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference					
				Lower	Upper				
Pair 1	PreTest-PostTest	-11.400	7.494	1.060	-13.530	-9.270	-10.756	49	0.000

From Table 2 shows the results of the hypothesis test using the Paired Samples Test, which produced a Sig. (2-tailed) value of 0.000, which is much smaller than the significance threshold of 0.05. Therefore, it can be concluded that the findings provide empirical support for the proposed hypothesis the Inquiry strategy based on Deep Learning has shows a positive improvement in improving student learning outcomes.

3.2. Implementation of Inquiry Strategy in Microteaching

The implementation of the Inquiry strategy integrated with Deep Learning in Microteaching shows a real transformation in students' pedagogical competencies. Microteaching served as a platform for students to integrate theoretical understanding with direct teaching practice. During the activity, students acted as teachers while their peers acted as learners, thereby creating an interactive and collaborative learning environment. Learning observations revealed that students were able to apply the stages of Inquiry systematically, beginning with the provision of stimulus, problem formulation, data collection, to drawing conclusions. The principle of Mindful Learning was reflected in students' ability to engage in self-reflection on their teaching practice. They successfully identified the strengths and weaknesses of the instructional strategies employed and consciously formulated alternative improvements in a critical manner. The principle of Meaningful Learning was evident in students' ability to connect instructional content with current social issues relevant to learners' lives. This demonstrated that students not only conveyed material conceptually but also contextualized it within real-world situations, thereby making learning more meaningful. Meanwhile, the principle of Joyful Learning was manifested in a participatory, open, and pressure-free classroom atmosphere, where learners were encouraged to express opinions, ask questions, and engage actively in discussions. In addition, students exhibited skills in utilizing digital learning media, which not only enhanced learners' interest but also strengthened their critical thinking and emotional intelligence, which are the main characteristics of the Deep Learning approach [60]. Thus, Microteaching proved to be an effective medium for students to comprehensively develop their pedagogical competencies.

3.3. Evaluation of Learning Implementation

The evaluation of the learning implementation was carried out through Focus Group Discussions (FGD) involving supervising lecturers, student research participants, and the development team of the Deep Learning Lesson Plan (*Rencana Pembelajaran Mendalam-RPM*). This evaluation aims to obtain a comprehensive overview of the effectiveness of implementing the Inquiry strategy within the Deep Learning approach, particularly in terms of the quality of the learning process and the implementation of learning in Microteaching activities. The results of the evaluation indicated that the application of Inquiry-based Deep Learning successfully enhanced the quality of student engagement in the learning process. Students demonstrated improved abilities in analyzing instructional problems, designing systematic learning scenarios, and adapting learning strategies to the characteristics of learners. Discussions during the FGD further revealed that students increasingly recognized their role as learning designers rather than merely as implementers of material [61].

Observations during the Microteaching activities revealed that students were able to create a conducive, communicative, and student-centered learning environment. The learning process became more interactive through questioning, discussion, and problem-solving activities, which are the core characteristics of the Inquiry strategy. Students also began to connect instructional content with real-life contexts, making the learning experience more meaningful and relevant for learners. In addition, the evaluation process through lecturer feedback and group discussions helps students identify the strengths and limitations of the teaching practices that have been implemented. Students demonstrated openness to feedback and a willingness to make improvements in both the planning and implementation of instruction [62]-[64]. Collaboration among lecturers across universities during the evaluation process further strengthened the learning community and fostered an academic culture of knowledge sharing, thereby supporting the sustainable professional development of students.

Nevertheless, the evaluation also revealed several challenges in the implementation of learning. The limited time available for Microteaching posed an obstacle to fully optimizing the application of all stages of Inquiry in depth. In addition, students still required guidance in developing instructional tools that were aligned with the Deep Learning approach. These challenges serve as an important basis for refining the RPM model so that it becomes more adaptive and contextual in subsequent stages of implementation. Overall, the evaluation

confirmed that the integration of the Inquiry strategy with the Deep Learning approach contributed positively to improving the quality of the learning process in higher education. This approach encourages participatory, contextual, and student-centered learning, oriented toward the development of pedagogical competencies among students as prospective educators. Despite the positive results, this study is limited by its conducted within a bounded classroom context design and absence of a control group, which restricts broader generalization.

Comparing to prior studies, our findings corroborate large-scale results on IBL: in addition to [65] and [66], other meta-analyses [66] similarly conclude that inquiry promotes significant gains in understanding and retention. Moreover, [15] found that design-based learning (a form of inquiry-driven pedagogy) “distinctively stimulated students’ motivation” and enhanced higher-order thinking. Our integrated approach, which included design-thinking elements (e.g. students designing lesson plans for realistic problems), echoed those motivational benefits. In line with theoretical work, the results imply that coupling inquiry tasks with reflection (mindfulness) and relevance (meaningfulness) produces a synergistic effect on learning outcome.

The findings of this study corroborate previous research demonstrating that Inquiry-based learning supports deeper cognitive engagement and learning achievement. However, this study extends existing literature by situating the integration of Inquiry and Deep Learning principles within a Learning Planning context, which demands reflective alignment between learning objectives, instructional activities, and assessment strategies. The observed learning gains suggest that the principles of mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning may facilitate students’ metacognitive awareness during lesson plan construction, thereby enhancing their pedagogical competence. This contextual contribution differentiates the present study from prior research focused primarily on content-based learning environments.

4. CONCLUSION

The results of the study indicate that the Inquiry strategy combined with the Deep Learning approach significantly improved students’ learning outcomes. The increase in the average score from 73.40 to 84.80 confirms the development of conceptual understanding as well as the ability to design lesson plans in a more systematic and reflective manner. This approach also strengthened students’ critical thinking, analytical skills, and reflective awareness through processes of analysis, investigation, and Microteaching. Accordingly, the study affirms that the integration of Inquiry and Deep Learning constitutes a pedagogical approach that is both relevant and promising for application in higher education, particularly in preparing prospective teachers to design holistic, reflective, and contextual instruction. Future research development may be directed toward applying this model in other courses or across different institutional contexts, while subsequent studies could explore its effectiveness at other educational levels and within broader fields of study.

In conclusion, this study provides preliminary empirical evidence that integrating Inquiry-based learning with Deep Learning principles can support improved learning outcomes in Learning Planning courses. While the absence of a control group limits causal inference, the findings offer a meaningful contribution to pedagogical research in teacher education. Future studies are encouraged to employ comparative or longitudinal designs and to further operationalize Deep Learning principles using standardized measurement instruments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to the Institute for Research and Community Service (LPPM) of Universitas Nusantara PGRI Kediri and YPLP-PT PGRI Kediri for funding and facilitating this research. The authors also acknowledge Universitas Nusantara PGRI Kediri and Universitas PGRI Mpu Sindok Nganjuk for providing institutional support and research access during the implementation of this study. Special thanks are extended to the lecturers and students who participated in and supported the inquiry-based deep learning activities.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author was solely responsible for the conceptualization and design of the study, data collection, implementation of the narrative counseling intervention, data analysis, and interpretation of the results. The author also prepared the original draft of the manuscript, revised the content critically, and approved the final version for publication.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author(s) declare no conflict of interest.

USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI)-ASSISTED TECHNOLOGY

The authors declare that no artificial intelligence (AI) tools were used in the generation, analysis, or writing of this manuscript. All aspects of the research, including data collection, interpretation, and manuscript preparation, were carried out entirely by the authors without the assistance of AI-based technologies.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. A. Della, T. A. Attamimi, U. Hasanah, R. Khairunnisa, and M. Noor, "Pengembangan bahan ajar Pendidikan Agama Islam berbasis pendekatan pembelajaran mendalam (Deep Learning) [Development of Islamic Religious Education teaching materials based on a deep learning approach (Deep Learning)]," *Al-Madrasah: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Madrasah Ibtidaiyah*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 2161–2183, 2025, doi: 10.35931/am.v9i4.5527.
- [2] I. Nurjannah, S. Kamala, A. Setyawan, P. Guru, S. Dasar, and F. Keguruan, "Studi komparatif model-model integrasi pembelajaran berdiferensiasi dan deep learning untuk mendukung competency based learning [A comparative study of differentiated learning and deep learning integration models to support competency-based learning]," *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar dan Usia Dini*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 14–40, 2025, doi: 10.70134/PEDASUD.V2I3.872.
- [3] N. A. Sandika *et al.*, *Deep Learning dalam Pendidikan Tinggi: Strategi, Metode, dan Implementasi [Deep Learning in Higher Education: Strategies, Methods, and Implementation]*. Pradina Pustaka, 2025.
- [4] K. Mthethwa-Kunene, T. Rugube, and C. Maphosa, "Rethinking pedagogy: Interrogating ways of promoting deeper learning in higher education," *European Journal of Interactive Multimedia and Education*, vol. 2022, no. 1, p. 2204, 2022, doi: 10.30935/ejimed/11439.
- [5] I. Kamaruddin *et al.*, "Integrasi deep learning dalam kurikulum berdampak: Transformasi pendidikan tinggi di era digital [Integrating deep learning into impactful curriculum: Transforming higher education in the digital era]," *Jurnal Penelitian Ilmu Pendidikan Indonesia*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 1949–1957, 2025, doi: 10.31004/JPION.V4I3.674.
- [6] D. Maulidya, D. N. Andriani, E. Setiawati, N. A. Umamy, and M. Syukri, "Analisis literatur peran deep learning dalam mendorong pembelajaran bermakna di sekolah dasar: Penelitian [Literature analysis of the role of deep learning in encouraging meaningful learning in elementary schools: A Research]," *Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat dan Riset Pendidikan*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 9072–9084, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.31004/jerkin.v4i2.3330.
- [7] G. Conole, E. Scanlon, K. Littleton, L. Kerawalla, and P. Mulholland, "Personal inquiry: Innovations in participatory design and models for inquiry learning," *EMI. Educ. Media Int.*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 277–292, Dec. 2010, doi: 10.1080/09523987.2010.535328.
- [8] A. P. Heller, "The Impact of Inquiry Learning on Students' Ability to Analyze Data and Draw Conclusions," 2015, *Montana State University*.
- [9] A. Ali, D. Kaigere, A. Apriyanto, T. Haryanti, and T. S. Rusli, *Eksplorasi Sains Melalui Inquiry: Pendekatan Inovatif Dalam Pembelajaran IPA [Science exploration through inquiry: An innovative approach to science learning]*, PT. Sonpedia Publishing Indonesia, 2024.
- [10] M. Miftakur Rohman, H. Tria Wulan Sari, and I. Hadi Wiranata, "Pengaruh strategi pembelajaran inkuiri terhadap keaktifan dan hasil belajar siswa di SMA Queen Al Falah Kecamatan Mojo Kabupaten Kediri [The influence of inquiry learning strategy on student activeness and learning outcomes at Queen Al Falah Senior High School, Mojo District, Kediri Regency]," *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Kesehatan, Sains dan Pembelajaran*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 589–595, 2024, doi: 10.29407/VTBFGV25.
- [11] G. V. Madhuri, V. S. S. N. Kantamreddi, and L. N. S. Prakash Goteti, "Promoting higher order thinking skills using inquiry-based learning," *European Journal of Engineering Education*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 117–123, 2012, doi: 10.1080/03043797.2012.661701.
- [12] D. Purnamawati, C. Ertikanto, and A. Suyatna, "Keefektifan lembar kerja siswa berbasis inkuiri untuk menumbuhkan keterampilan berpikir tingkat tinggi [The effectiveness of inquiry-based student worksheets in developing higher-order thinking skills]," *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 209–219, 2017, doi: 10.24042/jipfalbiruni.v6i2.2070.
- [13] R. P. Antonio, M. Sison Prudente, and S. Prudente, "Effects of inquiry-based approaches on students' higher-order thinking skills in science: A meta-analysis," *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 251–281, 2024, doi: 10.46328/ijemst.3216.
- [14] A. F. D. Prasetyo, H. L. Mampouw, and W. H. Kristiyanto, "Developing a PSADS-Based "Find Objects" learning media to enhance critical thinking skills of third-grade elementary students in mathematics," *Journal Evaluation in Education (JEE)*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 270–281, 2026, doi: 10.37251/jee.v7i1.2742
- [15] C. Weng, C. Chen, and X. Ai, "A pedagogical study on promoting students' deep learning through design-based learning," *International Journal of Technology and Design Education 2022 33:4*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 1653–1674, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10798-022-09789-4.
- [16] M. Pedaste *et al.*, "Phases of inquiry-based learning: Definitions and the inquiry cycle," *Educ. Res. Rev.*, vol. 14, pp. 47–61, Feb. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.edurev.2015.02.003.
- [17] A. Ramadhan, "Pengaruh meaningful, joyful, dan mindful learning sebagai pilar deep learning terhadap hasil belajar: Literature review [The influence of meaningful, joyful, and mindful learning as pillars of deep learning on learning outcomes: Literature review]," *JPT: Jurnal Pendidikan Tematik*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 151–158, 2025, doi: 10.62159/jpt.v6i2.1736.
- [18] A. Riomalen, Y. Rissi, and D. Sinaga, "AI dan pembelajaran mendalam (deep learning): Meningkatkan kualitas pendidikan di era digital [AI and deep learning improving the quality of education in the digital age]," *Cetta: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 10–23, 2025, doi: 10.37329/cetta.v8i4.4386.
- [19] H. S. Qohar and R. Widyaningrum, "Pengaruh model pembelajaran deep learning dalam motivasi belajar dan kecerdasan emosional terhadap prestasi akademik siswa mata pelajaran pendidikan agama islam di SDN 1 Badegan dan

- SDN 3 Badegan Kabupaten Ponorogo [The influence of the deep learning model on learning motivation and emotional intelligence on students' academic achievement in islamic religious education subjects at SDN 1 Badegan and SDN 3 Badegan, Ponorogo Regency],” *Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 223–229, 2025, doi: 10.65311/j.analysis.v3i2.1651.
- [20] F. Stasolla *et al.*, “Deep learning and reinforcement learning for assessing and enhancing academic performance in university students: A scoping review,” *AI 2025*, Vol. 6, Page 40, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 40, 2025, doi: 10.3390/ai6020040.
- [21] F. Handayani Togatorop, B. Polin Parsaulian Purba, A. Hadia Sihombing, K. M. S Lahagu, E. Manik, and F. Pangaribuan, “Memanfaatkan teori belajar kognitivisme untuk memperkuat pembelajaran deep learning [Using cognitivist learning theory to strengthen deep learning],” *Civic Society Research and Education: Jurnal Pendidikan Pancasila dan Kewarganegaraan*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 44–61, 2025, doi: 10.57094/jpkn.v6i1.3137.
- [22] P. A. E. Prativiningsih, M. Hanif, and P. Parji, “Parental parenting patterns and social media use: students' social attitudes building,” *Journal Evaluation in Education (JEE)*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 306-315, 2026, doi: 10.37251/jee.v7i1.2657
- [23] L. Loria, C. Junika, N. Natalia, A. Yuliana, S. Wahyuti, and K. Kalip, “Pembelajaran inkuiri: Konsep, prinsip, dan penerapannya [Inquiry learning: Concepts, principles, and applications],” *Jejak digital: Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 4315–4321, 2025, doi: 10.63822/mabbps58.
- [24] E. Ardyan *et al.*, *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif Dan Kuantitatif: Pendekatan Metode Kualitatif Dan Kuantitatif Di Berbagai Bidang [Qualitative and Quantitative Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Method Approaches in Various Fields]*. PT. Sonpedia Publishing Indonesia, 2023.
- [25] Y. Syafri, K. Y. Septian, M. P. Z. Sirojul, and Y. D. I Widya, *Buku Referensi Metodologi Penelitian Mengintegrasikan Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan Mixed Methods [Research Methodology Reference Book Integrating Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches]*, PT Media Penerbit Indonesia, 2025.
- [26] A. Hidayat, S. E. Lalu Supardin, S. E. Trisninawati, and R. R. Alhempri, *Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif [Quantitative Research Methodology]*. Takaza Innovatix Labs, 2024.
- [27] D. F. Pandiangan and M. Albina, “Model dan tahapan penelitian kuantitatif: Pendekatan teoretis dan praktis dalam kajian pendidikan [Quantitative research models and stages: Theoretical and practical approaches in educational studies],” *IHSAN : Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 724–730, 2025, doi: 10.61104/ihsan.v3i3.1494.
- [28] R. Grassberger and S. Wilder, “Impacting student learning using a living case study,” *Higher Education, Skills and Work-based Learning*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 369–382, 2015, doi: 10.1108/HESWBL-05-2015-0030.
- [29] I. Ibrahim, “Pengaruh penerapan metode studi kasus dalam efektivitas pembelajaran [The effect of the implementation of the case study method on learning effectiveness],” *SOCIAL : Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan IPS*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2023, doi: 10.51878/social.v3i1.2169.
- [30] I. Dewi, H. Siregar, A. Agustia, and K. H. Dewantara, “Implementasi case method berbasis pembelajaran proyek kolaboratif terhadap kemampuan kolaborasi mahasiswa pendidikan matematika [Implementation of case method based on collaborative project learning on collaboration skills of mathematics education students],” *Teorema: Teori dan Riset Matematika*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 261–276, 2024, doi: 10.25157/teorema.v9i2.16341.
- [31] O. Tajik, J. Golzar, and S. Noor, “Purposive sampling,” *International Journal of Education & Language Studies*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1–9, 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.22034/ijels.2025.490681.1029>.
- [32] N. Rai and B. Thapa, “A study on purposive sampling method in research,” *Kathmandu: Kathmandu School of Law*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 8–15, 2015.
- [33] S. Campbell *et al.*, “Purposive sampling: complex or simple? Research case examples,” *J. Res. Nurs.*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 652–661, 2020, doi: 10.1177/1744987120927206.
- [34] Z. Gadusova, B. Hockickova, I. Lomnický, L. Predanocytova, and R. Zilova, “Designing and planning teaching process - teacher's competence and its evaluation,” *INTED2017 Proceedings*, vol. 1, pp. 1474–1482, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.21125/inted.2017.0486.
- [35] L. Hanum, *Perencanaan Pembelajaran [Learning Planning]*. Syiah Kuala University Press, 2017.
- [36] H. B. Uno, *Perencanaan Pembelajaran [Learning Planning]*. Bumi Aksara, 2023.
- [37] A. Rissanen and K. Saastamoinen, “A Design-Based Approach to Course Planning and Development 1,” *Education Reform Journal*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 2018, 2018, 2026.
- [38] N. Entwistle and V. McCune, “The conceptual bases of study strategy inventories,” *Educ. Psychol. Rev.*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 325–345, 2004, doi: 10.1007/s10648-004-0003-0.
- [39] H. Y. S. Endrayanto, *Strategi Menilai Keterampilan Berpikir Tingkat Tinggi (HOTS) [Strategies for Assessing Higher-Order Thinking Skills (HOTS)]*. PT Kanisius, 2021.
- [40] A. W. Lazonder and R. Harmsen, “Meta-analysis of inquiry-based learning: Effects of guidance,” *Rev. Educ. Res.*, vol. 86, no. 3, pp. 681–718, 2016, doi: 10.3102/0034654315627366.
- [41] F. Marton and R. Säljö, “On qualitative differences in learning: I-outcome and process,” *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 4–11, 1976, doi: 10.1111/j.2044-8279.1976.tb02980.x.
- [42] G. Jeet and S. Pant, “Creating joyful experiences for enhancing meaningful learning and integrating 21st century skills,” *International Journal of Current Science Research and Review*, vol. 06, no. 02, 2023, doi: 10.47191/ijcsrr/v6-i2-05.
- [43] F. Feriyanto and D. Anjariyah, “Deep learning approach through meaningful, mindful, and joyful learning: A library research,” *Electronic Journal of Education, Social Economics and Technology*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 208–212, 2024, doi: 10.33122/ejeset.v5i2.321.
- [44] L. M. Andayani, M. S. Adhantoro, E. Purnomo, and G. T. Kurniaji, “Implementation of deep learning in education: Towards mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning experiences,” *Journal of Deep Learning*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 47–56, 2025, doi: 10.23917/jdl.v1i1.11157.
- [45] N. Nadawina *et al.*, *Penerapan Pembelajaran Deep Learning dalam Pendidikan di Indonesia [Implementation of Deep Learning in Education in Indonesia]*. Star Digital Publishing, 2025.

- [46] N. Ilyana Ismarau Tajuddin *et al.*, “Content validity assessment using aiken’s v: Knowledge integration model for blockchain in higher learning institutions,” *IJACSA International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, vol. 16, no. 6, 2025.
- [47] S. Freeman *et al.*, “Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics,” *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 111, no. 23, pp. 8410–8415, Jun. 2014, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1319030111.
- [48] S. P. Setyawati, “Keefektifan model pembelajaran inquiry based learning untuk meningkatkan self directed learning mahasiswa [The effectiveness of the inquiry-based learning model in improving students’ self-directed learning],” *Nusantara of Research : Jurnal Hasil-hasil Penelitian Universitas Nusantara PGRI Kediri*, vol. 3, no. 1, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.29407/NOR.V3I1.309.
- [49] M. Duran and I. Dökme, “The effect of the inquiry-based learning approach on student’s critical thinking skills,” *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 2887–2908, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.12973/eurasia.2016.02311a.
- [50] W. Suciono, *Berpikir kritis (tinjauan melalui kemandirian belajar, kemampuan akademik dan efikasi diri) [Critical thinking (review through independent learning, academic ability and self-efficacy)]*. Penerbit Adab, 2021.
- [51] G. Rianto, R. Hanafi, and G. Gusmaneli, “Strategi pembelajaran inkuiri untuk meningkatkan keterampilan berpikir kritis siswa [Inquiry learning strategies to improve students’ critical thinking skills],” *Edukasi Elita : Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 300–309, 2025, doi: 10.62383/edukasi.v2i2.1512.
- [52] Y. Popat, “Microteaching: An effective tool to enhance the teaching skills,” *International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology*, vol. 5, no. 8, 2020, doi: 10.33564/ijeast.2020.v05i08.030.
- [53] P. Rodrigue and B. Enama, “Student teachers’ competence in lesson planning during microteaching,” *Journal of Teacher Education and Educators*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 341–368, 2021.
- [54] U. Khasanah, *Pengantar Microteaching [Introduction to Microteaching]*. Deepublish, 2020.
- [55] L. Rofiah, M. Azizah, A. Apriyanto, and A. F. Djollong, *Microteaching: Panduan Praktik Mengajar untuk Pendidik Masa Depan [Microteaching: A Practical Guide to Teaching for Future Educators]*. PT. Sonpedia Publishing Indonesia, 2025.
- [56] F. Wajidi, *Buku Microteaching Sebagai Pengantar [Microteaching Book as an Introduction]*. Penerbit Widina, 2025.
- [57] R. E. Mawara *et al.*, *Microteaching: Keterampilan Dasar Mengajar [Microteaching: Basic Teaching Skills]*. PT. Sonpedia Publishing Indonesia, 2025.
- [58] T. de Lange and M. Nerland, “Learning to teach and teaching to learn: Exploring microteaching as a site for knowledge integration in teacher education,” *Higher Education Dynamics*, vol. 50, pp. 169–185, 2018, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-72832-2_10.
- [59] G. B. Petersen, S. Klingenberg, R. E. Mayer, and G. Makransky, “The virtual field trip: Investigating how to optimize immersive virtual learning in climate change education,” *British Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 2098–2114, 2020, doi: 10.1111/bjet.12991.
- [60] H. Y. Lee, P. H. Chen, W. S. Wang, Y. M. Huang, and T. T. Wu, “Empowering ChatGPT with guidance mechanism in blended learning: effect of self-regulated learning, higher-order thinking skills, and knowledge construction,” *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, vol. 21, no. 1, 2024, doi: 10.1186/s41239-024-00447-4.
- [61] S. Rönnebeck, S. Bernholt, and M. Ropohl, “Searching for a common ground – A literature review of empirical research on scientific inquiry activities,” *Stud. Sci. Educ.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 161–197, 2016, doi: 10.1080/03057267.2016.1206351.
- [62] S. W. C. Purnama, and P. D. Purwati, “Smart apps creator warak ngendhog with savi learning model to enhance critical thinking and poetry comprehension,” *Journal Evaluation in Education (JEE)*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 960-971, 2025, doi: 10.37251/jee.v6i3.1688.
- [63] N. Khalda, M. Muslimah, and S. Surawan, “Enhancing student self-disclosure through the deep talk critical method: A case study of islamic religious education,” *Journal Evaluation in Education (JEE)*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 651-661, 2025, doi: 10.37251/jee.v6i3.1589.
- [64] L. Alfieri, P. J. Brooks, N. J. Aldrich, and H. R. Tenenbaum, “Phases of inquiry-based learning: Definitions and the inquiry cycle,” *Educ. Res. Rev.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 47–61, Feb. 2015, doi: 10.1037/a0021017.
- [65] B. Öztürk, M. Kaya, and M. Demir, “Does inquiry-based learning model improve learning outcomes? A second-order meta-analysis,” *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 201–216, 2022, doi: 10.33902/JPR.202217481.
- [66] N. Mediana, A. Funan, and R. Dio, “Effectiveness of inquiry-based learning (ibl) on improving students’ conceptual understanding in science and mathematics: A meta-analysis,” *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 532–552, 2025, doi: 10.46328/ijemst.4769.