**Journal Evaluation in Education (JEE)**
Vol. 6, No. 4, October 2025, pp. 1211~1219
ISSN: 2716-4160, DOI: 10.37251/jee.v6i4.1917


# Innovative Assessment of Multiple Intelligences through Educational Games in Early Childhood Education

**Jauharotur Rihlah[1,*], Afib Rulyansah[2], Andini Hardiningrum[1], Savira Zaniar[3]**
[1]Departmen of Early Childhood Education, Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Jawa Timur, Indonesia
[2]Department of Elementary School Teacher Education, Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Jawa Timur, Indonesia
[3]Department of English Education Education, Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya, Jawa Timur, Indonesia

**Article Info**

***Article history:***

Received Jun 23, 2025
Revised Sep 17, 2025
Accepted Oct 16, 2025
OnlineFirst Oct 30, 2025

***Keywords:***

Assessment Tools
Cognitive Development
Early Childhood Education
Edugames
Multiple Intelligences

**ABSTRACT**

**Purpose of the study:** This research aims to provide insights into how edugames can assess children's cognitive abilities across various intelligence domains such as logical-mathematical, spatial, linguistic, interpersonal, and bodily-kinesthetic.

**Methodology:** This study adopts a qualitative research design with a case study approach. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with educators and edugame developers, classroom observations of students using the games, and document analysis. The study focused on a specific early childhood school institution where edugames were integrated into the curriculum. Thematic analysis was employed to identify key patterns and insights from the data.

**Main Findings:** The findings reveal that edugames are effective in assessing a wide range of intelligences, engaging children in tasks that reflect different cognitive and social abilities. The games were particularly effective in evaluating logical-mathematical, spatial, linguistic, interpersonal, and bodily-kinesthetic intelligences. Educators reported that edugames allowed them to assess diverse abilities often overlooked by traditional assessment methods. However, challenges such as limited technological infrastructure and the need for adaptive features in games were identified.

**Novelty/Originality of this study:** This research contributes to the emerging field of digital tools in early childhood education by presenting edugames as effective methods for assessing multiple intelligences. The implications for educational practice suggest that incorporating edugames into early childhood curricula can provide a more inclusive, dynamic, and accurate assessment of children's cognitive and social skills. Future research should focus on overcoming the identified challenges, such as the need for adaptive game features and better technological infrastructure.



***Corresponding Author:***
Jauharotur Rihlah,
Departmen of Early Childhood Education, Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya,
Jl. Jemursari No. 51-57 Surabaya, Jawa Timur, 60237, Indonesia
Email: rihlahjauhara@unusa.ac.id

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The landscape of early childhood education (ECE) is undergoing significant changes, emphasizing the need for more comprehensive evaluation methods that capture the full spectrum of children's abilities [1], [2]. Howard Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences (1983) introduces a more holistic view of human intelligence, proposing that intelligence is multifaceted and encompasses a wide array of abilities such as logical-mathematical, linguistic, spatial, bodily-kinesthetic, musical, interpersonal, and intrapersonal intelligences [3].

Traditional educational assessments often focus narrowly on academic achievements, overlooking other essential aspects such as creativity, social skills, and kinesthetic abilities [4].

In the context of early childhood education, integrating multiple intelligences into assessment practices is critical for providing a complete picture of children's development [5]. However, current assessment tools predominantly focus on linguistic and logical-mathematical intelligences, often neglecting the other dimensions of intelligence that are just as essential for young learners' growth and development [6]. This gap in the assessment framework has prompted the need for innovative solutions that can measure children's strengths across different domains of intelligence. One such solution is the use of educational games (edugames), which offer an interactive and engaging platform for assessing multiple intelligences in a way that aligns with children's developmental needs [7].

This study explores the implementation of edugames as tools for evaluating multiple intelligences in early childhood education (PAUD) settings [8]. The research aims to provide insights into how edugames can capture diverse cognitive abilities beyond traditional academic skills, contributing to a more inclusive and dynamic approach to assessing young learners' capabilities [9]. The novelty of this research lies in examining the potential of edugames to serve as evaluation tools for multiple intelligences a relatively unexplored area in early childhood education [10].

Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences challenges the traditional view of intelligence as a monolithic construct. Instead, it posits that individuals possess diverse cognitive abilities that extend beyond conventional academic skills. According to Gardner [5]. In early childhood education, this framework offers a comprehensive understanding of how children demonstrate their intellectual abilities. Researchers such as argue that an assessment system designed to measure multiple intelligences can more accurately capture the full range of cognitive and social abilities in young children [11], [12].

Despite the growing awareness of multiple intelligences, most educational systems, especially in early childhood education, still rely heavily on traditional assessments that prioritize linguistic and logical-mathematical intelligence [6], [13]. These methods fail to account for the diversity of skills children possess, particularly in non-academic domains. The limitations of traditional assessment methods are evident in their inability to evaluate social skills, creativity, or physical coordination, aspects that are essential for holistic child development [14]. Educational games (edugames) have emerged as an innovative solution to the limitations of traditional assessment methods. These digital and interactive tools engage children in gameplay that can assess a wide range of intelligences simultaneously [15]. Previous research indicates that edugames are particularly effective in fostering cognitive development, enhancing problem-solving skills, and promoting creativity [16], [17].

While there is substantial evidence supporting the use of edugames in promoting learning, their application as evaluation tools for multiple intelligences remains under-researched [18]. A few studies suggest that the interactive nature of edugames allows educators to observe children's performance across diverse intelligence domains [19]. However, there is still a lack of structured frameworks for how these games can be tailored to assess multiple intelligences in a systematic and meaningful way [20]. The existing literature reveals a significant research gap in the use of edugames for assessing multiple intelligences. While digital tools have been widely explored for their educational benefits, the integration of these tools into assessment frameworks for evaluating various cognitive abilities remains insufficiently addressed [15]. There is an urgent need to explore how edugames can be effectively used in early childhood education settings to provide a more holistic view of children's developmental progress. This research aims to fill this gap by examining how edugames can be integrated into assessment practices, thereby contributing to the ongoing discourse on innovative assessment methods in early childhood education [21] [22].

The primary objective of this research is to explore how edugames can be used to assess multiple intelligences in PAUD settings. Specifically, this study seeks to answer the following research questions: 1) How do edugames assess different domains of intelligence as proposed by Gardner's theory in early childhood education settings?; 2) What are the challenges and benefits of using edugames as assessment tools in these settings?; 3) How do educators and students perceive the use of edugames for evaluating cognitive and social development?

## 2. RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative research design with a focus on a case study approach. The qualitative design is selected due to its appropriateness for exploring subjective experiences, behaviors, and perceptions in a naturalistic setting [23]. In this research, the objective is to understand how edugames can be implemented as tools for evaluating multiple intelligences in early childhood education (PAUD) [24]. By using a case study approach, the research will focus on a specific PAUD institution where edugames have been integrated into the learning and assessment process. This approach allows for an in-depth investigation of the practical aspects of edugame implementation, capturing the nuances of how these tools are used in real-world educational settings

[25]. The case study method is particularly suitable for this research as it provides detailed insights into the processes, challenges, and outcomes associated with the use of edugames [26]. The selected PAUD institution will serve as the case for this study, and the observations, interactions, and data collected will offer a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon being studied [27]. Through this methodology, the research will explore not only the effectiveness of edugames in assessing multiple intelligences but also the perceptions and experiences of educators and students in using these tools [9], [28].

The study will involve three primary groups of participants, each contributing valuable insights from different perspectives. The first group consists of five PAUD teachers who are actively engaged in using edugames as part of their learning and assessment processes [29]. These educators were selected based on their familiarity with edugames and their role in evaluating children's development [30]. They will provide insights into the practicalities and challenges of using edugames in the classroom, as well as how these tools assist in assessing different forms of intelligence. The second group includes 20 children aged 4-6 years from the selected PAUD institution. These students are the primary users of the edugames and will be observed during gameplay to evaluate their performance in various domains of intelligence, including logical-mathematical, spatial, linguistic, and bodily-kinesthetic. Informed consent will be obtained from their parents or guardians prior to participation. The final group comprises two developers from the company that designed the edugame being used in the study. Their input is crucial for understanding the design intentions behind the game, how it addresses multiple intelligences, and how it can be adapted for better evaluation purposes [31].

A purposive sampling method, also known as judgment sampling, will be employed in this study. This non-probability sampling technique is used to select participants who are most likely to provide rich, relevant data that aligns with the research's objectives [32]. The selection criteria for the participants are as follows: educators who have hands-on experience using edugames, ensuring they are capable of providing insightful feedback about the tools' effectiveness in assessing multiple intelligences; students from a single PAUD institution that has integrated edugames into its curriculum, offering a suitable group for observing the tools' impact on children's development; and edugame developers who were involved in the design and implementation of the edugames, providing detailed insights into the games' functionalities and potential areas for improvement [33].

This study utilizes three primary data collection techniques: interviews, classroom observations, and document analysis, each contributing to a holistic understanding of the research questions. Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with both educators and edugame developers[34] . These interviews allow for flexibility in the conversation, enabling participants to express their thoughts and experiences while still focusing on the key themes of the research. The interviews with educators will focus on their perceptions of edugames as assessment tools, the intelligences they believe are being assessed, and the challenges they face in implementing these tools [35]. The interviews with the developers will explore the design of the edugames, their alignment with multiple intelligence theory, and potential areas for improvement [36]. Additionally, classroom observations will be conducted to assess how the games engage different intelligences. The observations will focus on children's interactions with the games, the types of tasks they complete, and how they demonstrate various intelligences, such as logical-mathematical, spatial, kinesthetic, and interpersonal. This method will provide valuable data on the children's real-time experiences with the games and how these tools can be used for assessment [19]. Lastly, document analysis will be conducted on any available documents related to the implementation of edugames in the PAUD institution, including lesson plans, assessment records, and feedback from teachers. This analysis will help triangulate the data from the interviews and observations, providing a fuller picture of how edugames are integrated into the evaluation process.

Data analysis in this study will follow a thematic approach, which is well-suited for identifying patterns and themes within qualitative data. The analysis will be conducted in several stages. The first step is data familiarization, which involves immersing in the data by reviewing interview transcripts, observation notes, and documents. This process allows the researcher to gain an overview of the data and begin identifying preliminary themes related to the research questions [37]. The next stage is coding, where the data is systematically coded by identifying specific segments that are relevant to the research questions and assigning labels or codes to them. For instance, codes might include "engagement with edugame," "assessment of spatial intelligence," or "teacher's perception of challenges." The coding process is iterative and flexible, allowing for adjustments as new insights emerge [38]. After coding, the data is grouped into broader themes in the theme development stage. These themes represent patterns or concepts that help answer the research questions, such as "effectiveness of edugames in assessing multiple intelligences," "educators' experiences with edugames," and "children's engagement with different intelligence tasks" [39]. The final stage of analysis is interpretation, where the themes are analyzed in light of the research questions and theoretical framework. This stage aims to connect the data to the broader literature on multiple intelligences and edugames, comparing the findings with previous research and identifying any new insights or contributions to the field [36].

Ensuring the trustworthiness of qualitative research is essential for establishing the validity and reliability of the findings. In this study, several strategies will be employed to enhance trustworthiness.

Credibility, which refers to the accuracy and believability of the research findings, will be ensured by using triangulation [40]. This involves drawing on multiple data sources, including interviews, observations, and documents, to corroborate the findings. Additionally, member checking will be employed, where participants educators and developers will have the opportunity to review the interview transcripts and verify the accuracy of their statements [41].

Transferability refers to the extent to which the findings can be applied to other contexts. To enhance transferability, the researcher will provide a detailed description of the research setting, participants, and data collection process. This rich description will enable other researchers or educators to assess whether the findings are applicable to their own contexts [42]. Dependability, which refers to the consistency of the research findings over time, will be ensured by maintaining a detailed audit trail. This will document all decisions made throughout the research process, including changes to the research design, data collection, and analysis. This transparency will allow others to follow the research process and assess its reliability [43].

Finally, confirmability, which pertains to the objectivity of the researcher and the extent to which the findings are shaped by the data rather than personal biases, will be enhanced by engaging in reflexive practices. The researcher will acknowledge any potential biases and maintain a reflective journal throughout the research process, ensuring that the findings are grounded in the data and not influenced by personal assumptions [44]. The research methodology outlined above is designed to provide a comprehensive and systematic approach to investigating the implementation of edugames as evaluation tools for assessing multiple intelligences in PAUD settings. The qualitative case study approach, combined with multiple data collection methods and a rigorous thematic analysis, will yield in-depth insights into the effectiveness of these tools and their practical application in early childhood education. By ensuring the trustworthiness of the study, the research aims to contribute valuable knowledge to the field of early childhood assessment and inform future practices in this area [45].

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the results of the study, which explores the implementation of edugames as tools for evaluating multiple intelligences in PAUD (early childhood education) settings. The data was collected through a combination of interviews with educators, observations of children interacting with edugames, and document analysis. The findings are presented in three key categories: the implementation process of edugames, the evaluation of multiple intelligences, and the perceptions of educators and students regarding the use of edugames as assessment tools.

### 3.1. Edugame Implementation Process

The implementation of edugames in the PAUD setting followed a well-structured process, which was crucial for aligning the educational tools with developmental objectives. Based on the interviews with educators, the integration of edugames into the curriculum was initiated as part of a broader strategy to modernize both teaching and assessment practices. Initially, educators expressed some skepticism about using digital tools with young children. However, training sessions helped them understand how edugames could be aligned with learning objectives and developmental milestones [46].

The first stage of implementation involved the careful selection of edugames that could effectively engage children and assess different intelligence domains. Educators and developers collaborated to choose games targeting logical-mathematical intelligence (e.g., puzzles), spatial intelligence (e.g., drawing activities), and linguistic intelligence (e.g., interactive storytelling). These games were carefully selected to match the developmental needs of young children, ensuring they were age-appropriate and aligned with curricular goals.

In the second stage, the edugames were introduced into the classroom. During this phase, educators observed how children interacted with the games and adjusted their approach as needed. Observational data revealed that children responded positively to the games, displaying high levels of engagement and enthusiasm. However, there were instances where some children faced difficulties with more complex tasks, especially those that required advanced motor skills or problem-solving abilities.

The final stage focused on the use of edugames as assessment tools. Educators used the games to observe and record children's performance across different intelligence domains. For instance, children excelling in tasks that required spatial reasoning were identified as having strong spatial intelligence, while those who demonstrated empathy and collaboration in group-based tasks were noted for their interpersonal intelligence. This data was systematically recorded in assessment logs, allowing educators to track progress over time [47].

### 3.2 Multiple Intelligence Assessment via Edugame

One of the core findings of this study is that edugames can effectively assess a range of intelligences as outlined by Gardner’s theory of multiple intelligences. The analysis of the data revealed that the games were particularly effective in assessing five main types of intelligence, The study assessed various forms of intelligence through edugames designed to engage children in tasks that reflect different types of cognitive

abilities. Spatial intelligence was evaluated using tasks that required children to manipulate shapes, draw, or navigate virtual environments. Observations showed that the edugames provided a platform for children to demonstrate their ability to think spatially and visualize objects in three dimensions. One educator noted, "It was interesting to see how some children easily navigated through visual tasks, while others struggled. This helped us understand their spatial skills more clearly."

Linguistic intelligence was assessed through tasks involving storytelling and language-based activities. Children participated in interactive activities where they selected words or phrases to complete stories. The data revealed that children who excelled in these tasks showed strong linguistic intelligence. Educators commented that these tasks were helpful in assessing children's language development in a more engaging and interactive way[48].

For assessing interpersonal intelligence, edugames incorporating cooperative tasks and social interactions were used. The observation data indicated that children with strong interpersonal skills often took on leadership roles in group activities or assisted peers in overcoming challenges. These children were noted for their empathy, cooperation, and leadership abilities.

Lastly, bodily-kinesthetic intelligence was assessed through tasks that required physical manipulation, such as dragging objects on the screen or performing gestures. The observation data revealed that children with strong bodily-kinesthetic intelligence displayed superior motor skills when interacting with the games. These tasks provided valuable insights into children's physical coordination and fine motor skills.

### 3.3 Teacher and Student Responses

The interviews with educators revealed generally positive perceptions of using edugames as assessment tools. One teacher remarked, "The edugames provide us with a new way to look at the children's abilities. It's not just about reading or counting anymore; we can now observe their creative thinking, problem-solving, and how they interact with others." Educators appreciated the diversity of tasks offered by the edugames, as these allowed for a broader range of skills to be assessed compared to traditional evaluation methods.

However, several challenges emerged during the implementation process. Teachers mentioned the learning curve in adapting to the technology and emphasized the need for continuous training to effectively integrate edugames into their assessment practices. Additionally, some educators raised concerns about balancing screen time with other non-digital activities, stressing that the games should complement, not replace, traditional learning methods [49].

As for the students, the responses were overwhelmingly positive. Children were highly engaged with the games, showing excitement and enthusiasm during gameplay. The observational data revealed that children enjoyed tasks involving creative expression, such as drawing and storytelling. However, it was also observed that some children became frustrated with tasks that were perceived as too challenging, suggesting a need for greater differentiation and adaptability within the games to cater to varying skill levels [50].

### 3.4 Challenges and Solutions

Despite the overall success of edugame implementation, several challenges were identified. One of the main challenges was the technological infrastructure needed to support the games. The institution faced difficulties with tablet availability and internet connectivity, which sometimes disrupted the learning process and hindered children's engagement with the games. To address these issues, the educators collaborated with the institution's administration to ensure the necessary technological resources were available. Contingency plans, such as using offline versions of the games or incorporating non-digital activities, were developed to mitigate these disruptions.

Another challenge was ensuring that the edugames were developmentally appropriate for all children. Some children found certain tasks too difficult, while others found them too easy. The educators recommended that future versions of the edugames should include adaptive features, allowing the difficulty level to adjust based on a child's individual progress. This would ensure that all children, regardless of their abilities, could benefit from the games.

The findings of this study provide significant confirmation of Howard Gardner's Multiple Intelligences Theory in early childhood education settings. This study demonstrates that edugames are effective tools for assessing a wide range of intelligences, including logical-mathematical, spatial, linguistic, interpersonal, and bodily-kinesthetic intelligences. These results align with Gardner's assertion that intelligence is multifaceted and not confined to a single cognitive construct [51]. Traditional assessments, such as standardized tests, primarily focus on linguistic and logical-mathematical abilities, which fail to provide a complete picture of a child's cognitive strengths. In contrast, edugames offer a more comprehensive approach by allowing educators to observe a broader array of skills, such as spatial reasoning, creative thinking, and social interactions [36]. This approach supports the idea that children possess diverse cognitive strengths that should be nurtured across different domains.

The findings are consistent with previous studies that emphasize the importance of adopting educational tools that assess multiple intelligences beyond academic achievements. By incorporating edugames into the assessment process, educators can better understand children's abilities, moving beyond traditional academic-focused evaluations. This contributes to the growing body of literature that highlights the potential of digital tools to facilitate a more nuanced understanding of multiple intelligences [52].

This study shows that edugames are effective tools for evaluating multiple intelligences in early childhood education. The games used in the study were designed to engage children in tasks that assess various intelligence domains. The observation data indicated that children responded positively to these tasks, demonstrating high levels of engagement and enthusiasm. The variety of tasks allowed educators to assess a wide range of cognitive and social skills, including problem solving, creativity, and social interactions [53]. The key advantage of edugames lies in their ability to engage children in a playful and interactive environment, which is central to early childhood development. Play not only enhances learning but also creates a natural setting for observing children's abilities in a dynamic and enjoyable manner. For example, children who struggle with traditional assessments often excel in tasks involving creative thinking or physical manipulation, thus providing a more accurate representation of their abilities.

The findings also highlight the potential for edugames to be used for formative assessment. Formative assessments are ongoing evaluations that provide immediate feedback to guide instructional decisions. The data collected through edugames provided real-time insights into children's strengths and weaknesses, enabling educators to tailor their teaching methods accordingly. This is consistent with the literature advocating for formative assessments that inform and adjust teaching practices to support children's development.

## 4. CONCLUSION

This study highlights the significant potential of edugames as effective tools for evaluating multiple intelligences in early childhood education (PAUD) settings. Through a case study approach, the research demonstrated that edugames engage children in tasks that reflect various forms of intelligence as proposed by Howard Gardner, including logical-mathematical, spatial, linguistic, interpersonal, and bodily-kinesthetic intelligences. This study confirms that edugames offer a dynamic and inclusive assessment approach compared to traditional methods, enabling educators to gain a more comprehensive understanding of children's cognitive and social abilities. The findings indicate that the implementation of edugames not only engages children in interactive tasks but also yields positive responses from both educators and students. Children exhibited high levels of engagement and enthusiasm during gameplay, and teachers appreciated the variety of tasks that allowed them to assess a wider range of abilities especially those often overlooked by conventional assessments. However, challenges regarding technological infrastructure, the need for adaptive features in the games, and the balance between screen time and traditional activities were identified as areas for improvement.

These findings carry important implications for early childhood education, particularly in adopting more holistic and flexible assessment practices that account for the diversity of children's intelligences. By integrating edugames into the evaluation process, educators can move beyond narrow academic assessments and adopt a more comprehensive approach to understanding children's developmental potential. This aligns with the growing movement toward personalized learning and individualized educational experiences that reflect each child's strengths. The contribution of this study to the body of literature lies in its novelty: it is among the first to investigate the role of edugames in evaluating multiple intelligences within early childhood education. It extends Gardner's theory by offering a practical implementation model in PAUD settings, demonstrating the capacity of digital tools to support a broader view of child development. The study also presents a conceptual shift, emphasizing the need for assessments that move beyond the confines of traditional academic testing, recognizing the full range of children's capabilities.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express sincere gratitude to all those who contributed to the success of this research. Special thanks are extended to the educators and students from the PAUD institution involved in the study, whose participation and insights were invaluable. The support and collaboration of the edugame developers were also crucial in understanding the design and implementation of the games used in this research. The author acknowledges the institutional support and resources provided throughout the research process. This work was made possible by the generous financial support from, whose contributions helped fund the study and enable its successful completion. Additionally, the author wishes to thank the reviewers for their constructive feedback and the academic community for their continuous support and encouragement in advancing research in early childhood education and educational technology. Finally, heartfelt thanks to family and friends for their unwavering support and patience throughout this study.

**REFERENCES**


[1] M. Tan, H. Kilani, I. Markov, S. Hein, and E. L. Grigorenko, "Assessing cognitive skills in early childhood education using a bilingual early language learner assessment tool," *Journal of Intelligence,* vol. 11, no. 7, p. 143, 2023, doi: 10.3390/jintelligence11070143.

[2] Y. Yang, Y. Shen, T. Sun, and Y. Xie, "Validating the effectiveness of a large language model-based approach for identifying children's development across various free play settings in kindergarten," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.03369,* 2025, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2505.03369.

[3] C. T. Pinto, L. Guedes, S. Pinto, and R. Nunes, "Spiritual intelligence: A scoping review on the gateway to mental health," *Global health action,* vol. 17, no. 1, p. 2362310, 2024, doi: 10.1080/16549716.2024.2362310.

[4] N. C. Singh *et al.*, "A new education agenda based on the international science and evidence based education assessment," *npj Science of Learning,* vol. 10, no. 1, p. 24, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41539-024-00288-w.

[5] N. Goyibova, N. Muslimov, G. Sabirova, N. Kadirova, and B. Samatova, "Differentiation approach in education: Tailoring instruction for diverse learner needs," *MethodsX,* vol. 14, p. 103163, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.mex.2025.103163.

[6] I. Levy-Feldman, "The role of assessment in improving education and promoting educational equity," *Education Sciences,* vol. 15, no. 2, p. 224, 2025, doi: 10.3390/educsci15020224.

[7] I. Chaidi, P. Pergantis, A. Drigas, and C. Karagiannidis, "Gaming platforms for people with ASD," *Journal of Intelligence,* vol. 12, no. 12, p. 122, 2024, doi: 10.3390/jintelligence12120122.

[8] M. S. Alotaibi, "Game-based learning in early childhood education: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Frontiers in psychology,* vol. 15, p. 1307881, 2024, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1307881.

[9] V. V. Nautiyal, S. A. Silverio, and E. E. P. Salvador, "Let's get on-board: a practical framework for designing and implementing educational board games in K-12 classrooms," in *Frontiers in Education*, 2024, vol. 9: Frontiers Media SA, p. 1420515, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2024.1420515.

[10] A. S. Evmenova, K. Regan, R. Mergen, and R. Hrisseh, "Educational games and the potential of AI to transform writing across the curriculum," *Education Sciences,* vol. 15, no. 5, p. 567, 2025, doi: 10.3390/educsci15050567.

[11] B. Koshy, M. Srinivasan, R. Srinivasaraghavan, R. Roshan, V. R. Mohan, K. Ramanujam, S. John, and G. Kang, "Structured early childhood education exposure and childhood cognition–Evidence from an Indian birth cohort," *Scientific Reports,* vol. 14, no. 1, p. 12951, 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-63861-8.

[12] H. E. Suna and M. Ozer, "Medium-and long-term outcomes of early childhood education: experiences from Turkish large-scale assessments," *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications,* vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 2024, doi: 10.1057/s41599-024-03241-9.

[13] M. G. B. Doblon, "Senior high school students' multiple intelligences and their relationship with academic achievement in science," *Integrated Science Education Journal,* vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 01-08, 2023, doi: 10.37251/isej.v4i1.298.

[14] C. A. Mulligan and J. L. Ayoub, "Remote assessment: origins, benefits, and concerns," *Journal of Intelligence,* vol. 11, no. 6, p. 114, 2023, doi: 10.3390/jintelligence11060114.

[15] D. A. Kurniawan, A. Astalini, D. Darmaji, T. Tanti, and S. Maryani, "Innovative learning: Gender perception of e-module linear equations in mathematics and physics," *Indonesian Journal on Learning and Advanced Education (IJOLAE)*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp, 92-106, 2022, doi: 10.23917/ijolae.v4i2.16610, doi: .

[16] Z. Cai, X. Zhang, C. Liu, and J. Zhan, "Effects of digital game-based learning on student's problem-solving ability: A Three-Level meta-analysis," *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning,* vol. 41, no. 2, p. e70002, 2025, doi: 10.1111/jcal.70002.

[17] A. Hall, S. Pais, P. Morando, and M. L. S. Spreafico, "Fun and functional: using non-digital games to promote maths engagement in pre-service teachers," in *Proceedings of the European Conference on Games-Based Learning*, 2024, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 337-346, doi: 10.34190/ecgbl.18.1.2675.

[18] M. E. Eltahir, N. Annamalai, S. H. Zyoud, N. R. Al Salhi, and B. Zakameh, "Exploring the adoption of multiple intelligences in micro credentials by educators in Malaysian higher education," *The Qualitative Report,* vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 3514-3535, 2023, doi: 10.46743/2160-3715/2023.6093.

[19] T. Tanti, A. Astalini, D. A. Kurniawan, D. Darmaji, T. O. Puspitasari, and I. Wardhana, "Attitude for physics: The condition of high school students," *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 126-132, 2021, doi: 10.15294/jpfi.v17i2.18919.

[20] C. Udeozor, P. Chan, F. Russo Abegão, and J. Glassey, "Game-based assessment framework for virtual reality, augmented reality and digital game-based learning," *Int. j. educ. technol. high. educ.,* vol. 20, no. 1, p. 36, 2023, doi: 10.1186/s41239-023-00405-6.

[21] M. W. Aslam, G. Chudhary, M. Aqeel, D. Irfan, A. Hamza, and M. Raza, "Early childhood education through gamification," *Innovative Computing Review,* vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 53-72, 2022, doi: 10.32350/icr.0201.04.

[22] X. Hong and Q. Liu, "Assessing young children's national identity through human-computer interaction: A game-based assessment task," *Frontiers in Psychology,* vol. 13, p. 956570, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.956570.

[23] W. M. Lim, "What is qualitative research? An overview and guidelines," *Australasian Marketing Journal,* vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 199-229, 2025, doi: 10.1177/14413582241264619.

[24] G. M. Mwinsa and M. Dagada, "Efficacy of indigenous games on literacy and numeracy development in pre-schoolers in Zambia," *South African Journal of Childhood Education,* vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2024, doi: 10.4102/sajce.v14i1.1555.

[25] C. A. Diaz León, N. A. Rivera, M. G. Cabrera, J. H. M. Cano, S. A. Ortiz, and J. D. M. Osorio, "Designing learning experiences using serious games: Innovative village case study," in *Frontiers in Education*, 2024, vol. 9: Frontiers Media SA, p. 1322704, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2024.1322704.

[26] E. Debrenti, "Game-Based Learning experiences in primary mathematics education," in *Frontiers in Education*, 2024, vol. 9: Frontiers Media SA, p. 1331312, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2024.1331312.

[27] S. Annamalah, "The value of case study research in practice: A methodological review with practical insights from organisational studies," *Journal of Applied Economic Sciences (JAES),* vol. 19, no. 4 (86), pp. 485-498, 2024, doi: 10.57017/jaes.v19.4(86).11.
[28] E. Ženatý, M. Dosedla, K. Picka, and J. Šťastná, "Didactic game based on the unreal engine and the effectiveness of its implementation in mathematics education," *TEM Journal,* vol. 13, no. 4, p. 3092, 2024, doi: 10.18421/TEM134-44.
[29] T. Tanti, K. Anwar, J. Jamaluddin, A. S. Saleh, D. K. Yusup, and M. Jahanifar, "Faith meets technology: Navigating student satisfaction in indonesia's islamic higher education online learning", *Jur. Ilmh. Ilm. Ter. Un. Ja*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 695–708, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.22437/jiituj.v9i2.41513.
[30] H. Hibana, M. R. Nayla, and K. Nurhayati, "Exploring the role of game-based learning in early childhood cognitive development: Perspectives from teachers and parents," *Golden Age: Jurnal Ilmiah Tumbuh Kembang Anak Usia Dini,* vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 733-745, 2024, doi: 10.14421/jga.2024.94-12.
[31] M. Nunes, A. Oliveira, and F. Fidalgo, "Gamification and emotional intelligence: Development of a digital application for children," *Education Sciences,* vol. 15, no. 4, 2025, doi: 10.3390/educsci15040453.
[32] S. Negi, "A blockchain technology for improving financial flows in humanitarian supply chains: benefits and challenges," *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management,* vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 154-174, 2025, doi: 10.1108/JHLSCM-10-2023-0099.
[33] M. Holly, L. Habich, and J. Pirker, "GameDevDojo-An Educational Game for Teaching Game Development Concepts," in *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on the Foundations of Digital Games*, pp. 1-9, 2024.
[34] T. Tanti, D. Deliza, and S. Hartina, "The effectiveness of using smartphones as mobile-mini labs in improving students' beliefs in physics," *JIPF (Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Fisika)*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 387-394, 2024, doi: 10.26737/jipf.v9i3.5185.
[35] G. Sadykova and A. Kayumova, "Educators' perception of artificial intelligence as instructional tool," *TEM Journal*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 3194-3204, 2024, doi: 10.18421/TEM134-54.
[36] A. Bahari, S. Wu, and P. Ayres, "Improving computer-assisted language learning through the lens of cognitive load," *Educational Psychology Review*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 53, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s10648-023-09764-y.
[37] I. H. Aljameel, "Computer-assisted language learning in Saudi Arabia: Past, present, and future," *International Education Studies*, *15*(4), 95-107, 2022, doi: 10.5539/ies.v15n4p95.
[38] C. Qian, "Research on human-centered design in college music education to improve student experience of artificial intelligence-based information systems," *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management,* vol. 8, no. 3, p. 23761, 2023, doi: 10.55267/iadt.07.13854.
[39] A. Vuyk, M. Montania, and L. Barrios, "Boredom and its perceived impact in adolescents with exceptional mathematical talent: a sequential mixed-methods study in Paraguay," *Frontiers in Sociology,* vol. 9, p. 1214878, 2024, doi: 10.3389/fsoc.2024.1214878.
[40] B. K. Daniel, M. Asil, and S. Carr, "Psychometric properties of the TACT framework—Determining rigor in qualitative research," *Frontiers in research metrics and analytics,* vol. 8, p. 1276446, 2024, doi: 10.3389/frma.2023.1276446.
[41] H. Schmidt, E.-M. Wild, C. D. Jacobs, and C. R. Blankart, "Strategizing in an Inter-organizational Setting—The case of a German healthcare partnership," *Schmalenbach Journal of Business Research,* vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 1-26, 2025, doi: 10.1007/s41471-024-00198-y.
[42] C. Treude, "Qualitative data analysis in software engineering: Techniques and teaching insights," in *Handbook on Teaching Empirical Software Engineering*: Springer, 2024, pp. 155-176, doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-71769-7_6.
[43] E. S. Lauritsen, *Navigating Time in the Quest for Ambidexterity in Energy Organisations*, 2024.
[44] C. Kitto, D. Lamb, and J. Billings, "Responding to the call of the NHS Nightingale, but at what cost? An auto-ethnography of a volunteer frontline mental health trainer's experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic," *Journal of Health Psychology,* vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 534-551, 2024, doi: 10.1177/13591053231213478.
[45] S. Grieshaber, K. Highfield, A. Duncan, and C. Neilsen-Hewett, "Irreconcilable knowledges?: A way forward," *Australasian Journal of Early Childhood,* vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 5-16, 2024, doi: 10.1177/18369391231220055.
[46] H. Kirk *et al.*, "A digital intervention to support childhood cognition after the COVID-19 pandemic: A pilot trial," *Scientific Reports,* vol. 14, no. 1, p. 14065, 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-63473-2.
[47] S. M. Saadullah, S. Ammar, and A. Alazzani, "Exploring verbal, interpersonal, and visual intelligences in accounting education: Effects on student learning and performance," *Journal of Accounting Education,* vol. 68, p. 100917, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jaccedu.2024.100917.
[48] T. Tanti, D. Darmaji, A. Astalini, D. A. Kurniawan, and M. Iqbal, "Analysis of user responses to the application of web-based assessment on character assessment," *Journal of education technology*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 356-364, 2021, doi: 10.23887/jet.v5i3.33590.
[49] S. Barragán-Pulido, M. L. Barragán-Pulido, J. B. Alonso-Hernández, J. J. Castro-Sánchez, and M. J. Rabazo-Méndez, "Development of students' skills through gamification and serious games: An exploratory study," *Applied Sciences,* vol. 13, no. 9, p. 5495, 2023, doi: 10.3390/app13095495.
[50] R. W. Wijffelaars and P. Markopoulos, "Collocated interactive outdoor games for children: A systematic literature review," *Entertainment Computing,* vol. 49, p. 100615, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.entcom.2023.100615.
[51] T. Tanti, W. Utami, D. Deliza, and M. Jahanifar, "Investigation in vocation high school for attitude and motivation students in learning physics subject", *Jor. Eva. Edu*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 479-490, 2025, doi: 10.37251/jee.v6i2.1452.
[52] J. S. Moreira-Choez, K. E. Gómez Barzola, T. M. Lamus de Rodríguez, A. R. Sabando-García, J. C. Cruz Mendoza, and L. A. Cedeño Barcia, "Assessment of digital competencies in higher education faculty: a multimodal approach within the framework of artificial intelligence," in *Frontiers in Education*, 2024, vol. 9: Frontiers Media SA, p. 1425487, doi: 10.3389/feduc.2024.1425487.

[53] H. Harju, J. Van Hoof, C. E. Nanu, J. McMullen, and M. Hannula-Sormunen, "Spontaneous focusing on numerical order and numerical skills of 3-to 4-year-old children," *Educational Studies in Mathematics,* vol. 117, no. 1, pp. 43-65, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10649-024-10327-3.